

# SAVAGE SOCIETY: DECODING THE ENIGMA OF AMERICA'S HIDDEN CULTURE

MARTHA ROSE CROW, M.S.

## CHAPTER SIX RELIGION, INC.: THE ROOTS OF A NATION

“The Word of God has shaped the history of our culture.”  
Karen Armstrong, *A History of God*, page xxiii

“This is a Christian nation.” Supreme Court Justice David Brewer, 1892

“It is impossible to rightly govern the world without God and the Bible.”  
George Washington

It's a sin  
Everything I've ever done  
Everything I'll ever do  
Every place I've ever been  
Everywhere I'm going to  
It's a sin

(from the song *It's a Sin* by the Pet Shop Boys)

In an attempt to understand the history of the growth of the god-idea, the fact should be borne in mind that, from the earliest conception of a creative force in the animal and vegetable world to the latest development in theological speculation, there has never been what might consistently be termed a new religion. On the contrary, religion like everything else is subject to the law of growth; therefore the faiths of today are the legitimate result, or outcome, of the primary idea of a Deity developed in accordance with the laws governing the peculiar instincts which have been in the ascendancy during the life of mankind on the earth.

Eliza Burt Gamble, *The God-Idea of the Ancients or Sex in Religion*  
(read it for free at <http://www.fullbooks.com/The-God-Idea-of-the-Ancients-or-Sex-in1.html>)

### **The Greatest Story Ever Sold**

Political/propaganda machine to unite all Israel under one religion. No eyewitnesses – all stuff handed down. Universal religion means universal control. God never says “I love you” and never tells humanity to love each other. Apocroph/Deuterionicals. Historical Jesus/fictional Jesus

One of humanity's oldest histories is perhaps also the bloodiest, filled with tales of mass murder and torture. These murders were usually committed to seize land and peoples in efforts to secure fuel and resources. The Jews of the Old Testament, led by Moses, began an era of genocide as they acquired their Promised Land. The practice of invading and annihilating the inhabitants of Biblical lands, with the approval of the Jewish God, is a predominant Old

Testament theme.

From the beginning, ideological justifications were invented and contrived to make it seem that naked theft was not so naked and that murders were just. The ancient Jews justified the murders and/or violence by painting their enemies (who were wiped out, enslaved or forced to migrate somewhere were else) impure, worshipping the wrong god/s or subhuman. The people on the wrong end of weaponry were thus made exploitable/expendable and the ancient Jews could then commit their awesome crimes against others or each other with clear consciences.

The following quote succinctly describes the circumstances and men behind the writing of the bible. It is from "The Bible Unearthed; Archaeology's New Vision of Ancient Israel and Origin of Its Sacred Text," by Israel Finkelstein and Neil Asher Silverman, pgs. 1-2, says,

"During a few extraordinary decades of spiritual ferment and political agitation toward the end of the seventh century BCE, an unlikely coalition of Judahite court officials, scribes, priests, peasants, and prophets come together to create a new movement. At its core was a sacred scripture of unparalleled literary and spiritual genius. It was an epic saga woven together from an astonishingly rich collection of historical writings, memories, legends, folk tales, anecdotes, royal propaganda, prophecy, and ancient poetry. Partly an original composition, partly adapted from earlier versions and sources, that literary masterpiece would undergo further editing and elaboration to become a spiritual anchor not only for the descendants of the people of Judah but for communities all over the world."

### **Where Did the Writers of the Bible Get Their Information?**

According to the bible and bible "interpretations", it seems the "perfect" God of the bible decided to reveal his wishes in this imperfect, indecipherable amalgam of books written in the imperfect language of imperfect man, that has been translated, edited, copied, interpreted, voted on, "reinvented" and related to by imperfect man.

If the bible is truly "divinely inspired" and the absolute "true word of god," then why does the bible and it's stories resemble other, older stories from other older religions in the area? Could the writers behind the bible plagiarized from those other religions?

The authors of Genesis seem have picked up part of their story from Hindu legends of the creation and early history of humanity. Stories of Hindu heroes Adimo, Heva, Sherma,, Hama and Jiapheta apparently were replicated into legends about Adam, Eve, Shem Ham, and Japeth.

This is from [http://www.religioustolerance.org/noah\\_com.htm](http://www.religioustolerance.org/noah_com.htm):

The *Chaldean Flood Tablets* from the city of Ur in what is now Southern Iraq, describe how the Babylonian God Ea had decided to eliminate humans and other land animals with a great flood which was to become "*the end of all flesh.*" He selected Ut-Napishtim, to build an ark to save a few humans, and samples of other animals.

The Babylonian text "*The Epic of Galgamesh*" and the Hebrew story are essentially identical with about 20 major points in common. Their texts are obviously linked in some way. Either:

Genesis was copied from an earlier Babylonian story, or The Galgamesh myth was copied from an earlier Hebrew story, or both were copied from a common source that predates them

both.

In both the Genesis and Galgamesh stories: The Genesis story describes how mankind had become obnoxious to God; they were hopelessly sinful and wicked. In the Babylonian story, they were too numerous and noisy.

The Gods (or God) decided to send a worldwide flood. This would drown men, women, children, babies and infants, as well as eliminate all of the land animals and birds.

The Gods (or God) knew of one righteous man, Ut-Napishtim or Noah.

The Gods (or God) ordered the hero to build a multi-story wooden ark (called a chest or box in the original Hebrew).

The hero initially complained about the assignment to build the boat

The ark would be sealed with pitch.

The ark would have with many internal compartments

It would have a single door

It would have at least one window.

The ark was built and loaded with the hero, a few other humans, and samples from all species of other land animals.

A great rain covered the land with water.

The mountains were initially covered with water.

The ark landed on a mountain in the Middle East.

The hero sent out birds at regular intervals to find if any dry land was in the vicinity.

The first two birds returned to the ark. The third bird apparently found dry land because it did not return.

The hero and his family left the ark, ritually killed an animal, offered it as a sacrifice.

God (or the Gods in the Epic of Gilgamesh) smelled the roasted meat of the sacrifice.

God (or the Gods in the Epic of Gilgamesh) smelled the roasted meat of the sacrifice.

The hero was blessed.

The Babylonian gods seemed genuinely sorry for the genocide that they had created. The God of Noah appears to have regretted his actions as well, because he promised never to do it again.

There were a number of differences between the two stories:

Noah received his instructions directly from Jehovah; Ut-Napishtim received them indirectly during a dream.

Noah's ark was 3 stories high and rectangular in shape. Two estimated dimensions are 547 x 91 ft. and 450 x 75 ft. The Babylonian ark was 6 stories high and square.

Ut-Napishtim invited additional people on board: a pilot and some skilled workmen.

Noah's ark landed on Mt. Ararat; Ut-Napishtim's landed on Mt. Nisir; these locations are both in the Middle East, and are located few hundred miles apart

In the Bible, some of the water emerged from beneath the earth. And the rains from above lasted for 40 days and nights. A 40 day interval often symbolized a period of judgment in the Hebrew Scriptures. In the Babylonian account, the water came only in the form of rain, and lasted only 6 days.

Noah released a raven once and a dove twice; Ut-Napishtim released three birds: a dove, swallow and raven.

### **Is the Story of Christ A Composite of Myths/Legends of Other Ancient Religions?**

This is paraphrased from <http://www.thegodmurders.com/id93.html>

Hinduism consists of a Trimurti (trinity):

Brahma: The Creator.

Vishnu: The Sustainer.

Shiva: The Destroyer.

Christianity consists of another Trinity:

The Father.

The Son.

The Holy Ghost.

5,000 years ago Vishnu appeared in the form of a man before Devaki who conceived Krishna by Virgin Birth. Like Jesus, Krishna was born of a Virgin.

Hindus worship the God, Vishnu. Krishna "The Savior" is the eighth (8th) Avatar of the God, Vishnu. The Higher Being takes on a mortal body, out of compassion, to help suffering humanity to attain perfection.

Krishna was crucified, his arms extended on a cross.

Krishna descended into Hell.

Krishna arose from the dead.

Krishna ascended into Heaven.

## Krishna's 7 Classes of Miracles

1. Granting visions.
2. Visual perception over long distance.
3. The multiplication of small quantities of food.
4. Appearing in many places in less than a fleshy body.
5. The healing of the sick and a laying on of hands.
6. The raising of the dead back to life.
7. The destruction of demons and the exorcism of the possessed.

Krishna's childhood parallels Jesus even with the current King's early massacre of infants.

Krishna was able to perform miracles even as a child. A snake crawled into his cradle and he killed it with his bare hands which is a parallel myth to the young Hercules.

Krishna released people from sin, drove out evil spirits, raised the dead, gave sight to the blind, hearing for the deaf, made the lame walk, healed lepers.

Krishna, The Son of God, didn't want to start a new religion merely to cleanse an old one.

Krishna allowed an arrow to strike him in the foot and ascended to Heaven.

The ninth (9th) appearance of Vishnu on Earth was in the form of Gautama Buddha; Prince Siddhartha, Sakyamuni (About 560-491 BC).

Actually, the Prince abandoned his wife and family (was a dead-beat dad at least time-wise) and he bummed around for a few years, then became The Buddha and shared His enlightenment.

Both Buddha, of the Orient, and Jesus, of the Jews, divested themselves of Earthly and personal ties. Krishna, of India, had also lived in poverty, had been devoted to the poor, was devoid of personal ties and advocated chastity.

About 500 BC, Buddha consisted of another Trinity:

Buddha.

Dharma.

Sangha.

Buddhists formalized their doctrine at The Council of Pataliputra, which took place in 241 BC, about 250 years after Buddha's death.

Christians formalized Jesus' doctrine at The Council of Nicea in 325 AD, which took place about 300 years after Jesus' death. The books that made up "The Canon" (Bible) were also voted on at the time and there, Jesus was voted to be Divine.

## **Buddha Paraphrased**

Buddha: The knowledge of the truth is the supreme blessing.

Jesus is "God's word" and God's blessing.

Buddha: Speak no harsh words to your neighbor and he will respond in like terms.

Buddha: If they offend me, I make no reply.

Buddha: If they hit me, I would not react then either.

Jesus: If they strike you, turn the other cheek.

Buddha: If they kill me, death is no evil. Some even desire it.

Buddha: All those who believe in me will come to great joy.

Buddha: A treasure of love for one's neighbor, no thief can steal.

Buddha was tempted by Mara, the Lord of sensory pleasures.

Jesus was tempted by Satan.

Buddha spoke in parables.

Jesus spoke in parables.

Buddha was regarded both divine and human.

Jesus is both God and man.

Buddha had 12 disciples. His first 2 disciples were brothers.

Jesus had 12 disciples. His first 2 disciples were brothers.

Buddha walked on water and had an unsure disciple walk towards him on water.

Jesus walked on water and had an unsure disciple (Peter) walk towards him on water.

Buddha was considered to be The Son of God.

Jesus was considered to be The Son of God.

Some considered Jesus to be a Buddha in the making.

Buddha was born of the Virgin Maya and Jesus was born of The Virgin Mary, both without carnal intercourse.

Buddha was baptized.

Jesus was baptized.

Buddha died, his coffin was opened by supernatural powers and he ascended to Heaven.

Jesus' tomb was opened and he eventually ascended to Heaven.

Buddha was to return to Earth to restore order.

Jesus was to soon have a second coming.

Rome captured a city who worshipped Sol-Invictus, a Sun God, whose birthday was on December 25th. On that date the Winter-Solstice lasts 3 days and then the primitive religious people worshipped that the Sun seemed to come back to life for the spring crop season.

Who borrowed December 25th? Who supposedly came back to life in 3 days? Jesus!

Alexander the Great (356-323 BC) died at 33 years of age. He was considered to be the "Son of God."

How can history have the exact dates of Alexander's birth and death over 500 years earlier when, outside the Bible, history, first of all, makes no mention of Jesus nor of Jesus' exact dates of birth and death?

According to the New Testament, Jesus died at age 33.

The Bible claims that King Herod, a true historical character, heard that Jesus was born in Bethlehem so to become King of the Jews. Herod killed all of Bethlehem's male babies 2 years old and younger so that couldn't happen. History claims that King Herod died in 4 BC. Scholars claim that Jesus was born between 4 - 6BC. How could Jesus Christ be born 4 - 6 years before Himself? If Jesus was born on December 25th, why does the New Year calendar start January 1st?

Hercules was crucified on a mount. His last words were: It is finished. Myth declares He, and his mother, ascended to Heaven. Hercules was considered to be The Son of God.

Jesus was crucified on a mount. His last words were: It is finished. Jesus was voted by Constantine's clergy to be "The Son of God".

Long before Moses, a Pharaoh, Amen-Ra, of the Egyptians, changed religion to the worshipping of one God called Horus whose adversary was Set. Any current Pharaoh was considered to be the reincarnation of God.

Horus and Set is another comparison to Jesus and Satan.

Horus baptized. He and Jesus both were called "The Lamb" and had 12 followers.

Lamaism, a form of Buddhism, has had 14 men called the Dalai Lama "God's Lamb".

Here are some men, all before Jesus, who, by coincidence, are claimed to be of virgin birth, to be The Son of God, who were all teaching by age 12. A King killed all male infants trying to get each of them. Each began his ministry at 30 and was killed on the cross at age 33, all BC

(Before Christ):

Thulis of Egypt 1700

Krishna of India 1200

Crite of Caldea 1200

Atys 1170

Thammuz of Syria 1160

Prometheus 847

Hesus of the Druids 834

Indra of Tibet 725

Bali 725

Iao of Napal 622

Sakia 600

Alcesios 600

Mirthra of Persia 600

Quexalcote of Mexico 587

Wattoba 552

Quirinus of Rome 506

### **Saviors Borrowed?**

Has the Gospel borrowed historical accounts describing Jesus from previous Eastern scriptures which existed centuries before the Bible?

Krishna was depicted as if crucified.

The Persian remembered only the atoning sufferings on the cross of Mithras the Mediator.

Aztecs prayed for the return of their crucified savior, Quexalcoatl, and were rewarded with the murderous acts of Cortez.

Caucasians chanted praises to their slain Divine Intercessor, Prometheus, for voluntarily offering himself upon the cross for the sins of a fallen race.

To retain their following, Christianity is based on unchangeable dogmas which disciples must accept to the exclusion of all knowledge adverse to their own creed. Whenever they are able they actually destroy contrary evidence for fear of rivalry. Then they magnify their own religion to a unique position above all others.

The earlier Christian saints, having determined like Paul, to know only *Jesus Christ and him crucified*, made stern efforts to obliterate from the page of history facts damaging to their case.

A report on the Hindu religion, made out by a deputation from the British Parliament, sent to India to examine their sacred books and monuments, was left in the hands of a Christian bishop at Calcutta, with instructions to forward it to England. On its arrival in London, it was so horribly mutilated as to be scarcely recognizable. The account of the crucifixion was gone. The inference is patent.

The disciples of the Christian faith have burnt books, blotted out passages and bowdlerized testaments which suggested the opposite of their belief. Not only that, they have demolished monuments showing crucifixions of previous atoning gods so that they are now unknown.

Hence, the disbelief of Christians when other cases are mentioned.

Kersey Graves gives examples of sixteen crucified gods or saviors. Most are very ancient and arguable, depending upon the interpretation of pictures or sculptures since no original written sources now exist, often victims of Christians determined to preserve the memory of only one crucified god.

For the same reasons the dates of their occurrence are doubtful and because chronology before the time of Alexander the Great (330 BC) is far from certain, and the dating of icons, especially from distant or isolated cultures is uncertain. Even mainstream studies of the ancient Near East are involved in controversy over dates, Peter James for example claiming in a well argued case that several centuries have been mistakenly inserted into near Eastern chronologies. It is certain these crucifixions occurred before the time of Christ, but their exact date cannot be fixed.

These crucifixions are not vouchsafed as actual occurrences. The objective is not to prove them real events but simply that the belief in the crucifixion of gods was prevalent long before the crucifixion of Christ. To establish this point then six will prove it as well as sixteen. Indeed, one case is sufficient. The reader is left to decide.

### **Tammuz of Mesopotamia 1160 BC**

Tammuz was a god of Assyria, Babylonia and Sumeria where he was known as Dumuzi. He is commemorated in the name of the month of June, Du'uzu, the fourth month of a year which begins at the spring equinox. The fullest history extant of this savior is probably that of Ctesias (400 BC), author of *Persika*. The poet has perpetuated his memory in rhyme.

Trust, ye saints, your Lord restored, Trust ye in your risen Lord;  
For the pains which Tammuz endured Our salvation have procured.

Tammuz was crucified as an atonement offering: *Trust ye in God, for out of his loins salvation has come unto us*. Julius Firmicus speaks of this God rising from the dead for the salvation of the world. This savior, which long preceded the advent of Christ, filled the same role in sacred history.

Wittoba is represented in his story with nail-holes in his hands and the soles of his feet. Nails, hammers and pincers are constantly seen represented on his crucifixes and are objects of adoration among his followers, just as the iron crown of Lombardy has within it a nail claimed to be of *his true original cross*, and is much admired and venerated for that reason. The worship of this crucified God prevails chiefly in the Travancore and other southern states of India in the region of Madura.

### **Iao of Nepal 622 BC**

Iao was crucified on a tree in Nepal. The name of this incarnate god and oriental savior occurs frequently in the Holy Bibles and sacred books of other countries. Some suppose that Iao is the root of the name of the Jewish God, Yehouah (Jehovah), often abbreviated to Yeho.

### **Hesus of the Celtic Druids 834 BC**

The Celtic Druids depict their god Hesus as having been crucified with a lamb on one side and an elephant on the other, and that this occurred long before the Christian era.

The elephant, being the largest animal known, was chosen to represent the magnitude of the sins of the world, while the lamb, from its proverbial innocent nature, was chosen to represent the innocence of the victim, the god offered as a propitiatory sacrifice. We have the Lamb of God taking away the sins of the world. The Lamb of God could therefore have been borrowed from the Druids. This legend was found in Gaul long before Jesus Christ was known to history.

### **Quezalcoatl of Mexico 587 BC**

Historical authority of the crucifixion of this Mexican god is explicit, unequivocal and ineffaceable. The evidence is tangible, and indelibly engraved upon metal plates. One of these plates represents him as having been crucified on a mountain. Another represents him as having been crucified in the heavens, as St Justin tells us Christ was. Sometimes he is represented as having been nailed to a cross, sometimes with two thieves hanging with him, and sometimes as hanging with a cross in his hand.

### **Quirinius of Rome 506 BC**

The crucifixion of this Roman savior is remarkable for the parallel features to that of the Judean savior, not only in the circumstances of his crucifixion, but also in much of his antecedent life.

He is represented, like Christ:

- As having been conceived and brought forth by a virgin.
- His life was sought by the reigning king, Amulius.
- He was of royal blood, his mother being of kingly descent.
- He was put to death by wicked hands or crucified.
- At his mortal exit the whole earth is said to have been enveloped in darkness, as in the case of Christ, Krishna, and Prometheus.
- And finally he is resurrected and ascends back to heaven.

### **Prometheus 547 BC**

The crucifixion of Prometheus of Caucasus, described by Seneca, Hesiod, and other writers, states that he was nailed to an upright beam of timber, to which were affixed extended arms of wood, and that this cross was situated near the Caspian Straits. The modern story of this crucified God, which has him bound to a rock for thirty years, while vultures preyed upon his vitals, is a Christian fraud.

The poet, in portraying his propitiatory offering, says:

Lo! streaming from the fatal tree His all atoning blood, Is this the Infinite?—Yes, 'tis he, Prometheus, and a god!

Well might the sun in darkness hide, And veil his glories in, When God, the great Prometheus, died For man the creature's sin.

It is doubtful whether there is to be found in the whole range of Greek letters deeper pathos than that of the divine woe of the beneficent demigod Prometheus, crucified on his Scythian crags for his love to mortals.

When he dies:

- That the whole frame of nature became convulsed.
- The earth shook, the rocks were rent, the graves were opened, and in a storm, which seemed to threaten the dissolution of the universe, the solemn scene forever closed, and Our Lord and saviour Prometheus gave up the ghost.

The cause for which Prometheus suffered was his love for the human race. The whole story of Prometheus' crucifixion, burial and resurrection was acted in pantomime in Athens five hundred years before Christ, which proves its great antiquity. Minutius Felix, one of the most popular Christian writers of the second century addresses the people of Rome:

Your victorious trophies not only represent a simple cross, but a cross with a man on it, and this man St. Jerome calls a god.

These coincidences are more proof that the tradition of the crucifixion of gods has been very long prevalent among the heathen.

### **Thulis of Egypt 1700 BC**

Thulis of Egypt, whence comes *Ultima Thule*, died the death of the cross about thirty-five hundred years ago.

*Ultima Thule* was the island which marked the ultimate bounds of the extensive empire of this legitimate descendant of the gods.

This Egyptian saviour appears also to have been known as Zulis. His history is curiously illustrated in the sculptures, made seventeen hundred years BC of a small, retired chamber lying nearly over the western adytum of the temple. Twenty-eight lotus plants near his grave indicate the number of years he lived on the earth. After suffering a violent death, he was buried, but rose again, ascended into heaven, and there became the judge of the dead, or of souls in a future state. He came down from heaven to benefit mankind, and that he was said to be full of grace and truth.

### **Indra of Tibet 725 BC**

This Tibetan saviour is shown nailed to the cross. There are five wounds, representing the nail-holes and the piercing of the side. The antiquity of the story is beyond dispute.

Marvellous stories are told of the birth of the Divine Redeemer. His mother was a virgin of black complexion, and hence his complexion was of the ebony hue, as in the case of Christ and some other sin-atoners. He descended from heaven on a mission of benevolence, and ascended back to the heavenly mansion after his crucifixion. He led a life of strict celibacy, which, he taught, was essential to true holiness. He inculcated great tenderness toward all living beings. He could walk upon the water or upon the air; he could foretell future events with great accuracy. He practised the most devout contemplation, severe discipline of the body and mind, and completely subdued his passions. He was worshiped as a god who had existed as a spirit from all eternity, and his followers were called Heavenly Teachers.

### **Alcestos of Euripides 600 BC**

A less usual crucified God was Alcestos, who was female, the only example of a feminine God atoning for the sins of the world upon the cross. The doctrine of the trinity and atoning offering for sin was inculcated as a part of her religion.

#### **Attis of Phrygia 1170 BC**

Speaking of this crucified Messiah, the Anacalypsis informs us that several histories are given of him, but all concur in representing him as having been an atoning offering for sin. And the Latin phrase *suspensus lingo*, found in his history, indicates the manner of his death. He was suspended on a tree, crucified, buried and rose again.

#### **Crite of Chaldaea 1200 BC**

The Chaldeans have noted in their sacred books the crucifixion of a god with the above name. He was also known as the Redeemer, and was styled the Ever Blessed Son of God, the saviour of the Race, the Atoning Offering for an Angry God. When he was offered up, both heaven and earth were shaken to their foundations.

#### **Bali of Orissa 725 BC**

In Orissa, in Asia, they have the story of a crucified God, known by several names, including the above, all of which, we are told, signify *Lord Second*, his being the second person or second member of the trinity. Most of the crucified gods occupied that position in a trinity of gods, the Son, in all cases, being the atoning offering. This God Bali was also called Baliu, and sometimes Bel. Monuments of this crucified God, bearing great age, may be found amid the ruins of the magnificent city of Mahabalipore, partially buried amongst the figures of the temple.

#### **Mithras of Persia 600 BC**

This Persian God was slain upon the cross to make atonement for mankind, and to take away the sins of the world. He was born on the twenty-fifth day of December, and crucified on a tree. Christian writers both speak of his being slain, and yet both omit to speak of the manner in which he was put to death. And the same policy has been pursued with respect to other crucified gods of the pagans, as we have shown.

**Devatat of Siam, Ixion of Rome, Apollonius of Tyana** in Cappadocia, are all reported to have died on the cross.

**Ixion**, 400 BC, was crucified on a wheel, the rim representing the world, and the spokes constituting the cross. He bore the burden of the world, the sins of the world, on his back while suspended on the cross. He was therefore called the crucified spirit of the world.

#### **Omitting Other Crucifixions**

It is curious that Christian writers will recount a long list of miracles and remarkable incidents in the life of Apollonius of Tyana, the Cappadocian saviour, forming a parallel to those of the Christian saviour, yet say not a word about his crucifixion.

Christian writers find it necessary to omit the crucifixion of these saviours fearing the telling

would lessen the spiritual force of the crucifixion of Christ, which has to be unique. They thus exalted the tradition of the crucifixion into the most important dogma of the Christian faith. Hence, their efforts to conceal from the public the fact that it is of pagan origin.

Why is it that “keepers” of “sacred texts” feel compelled to “**protect**” their god or savior when both are “all-powerful”? Ecstatic/corrupt visions, social engineering of societies and systems via religion for the present and the future, blind faith, misogyny, racism, warped idealism, meanness/psychopathy, to justify or soften psychopathic verses/stories/personalities, and/or protection of the wealth and status of the Priest Class.

### **Contradictions of the World’s Best-Selling Book**

There were so many contradictions in the bible, religious forgers/editors/defrauders couldn’t fix them all so the bible would be more seamless and coherent. Hundreds of contradictions slipped through. There’s so many to list! Here’s a few:

#### **Theological doctrines:**

1. God is satisfied with his works (Gen 1:31 ). God is dissatisfied with his works ( Gen 6:6 ).
2. God dwells in chosen temples (2 Chron 7:12,16). God dwells not in temples (Acts 7:48 ).
3. God dwells in light (1Tim 6:16 ). God dwells in darkness (1 Kings 8:12/ Ps 18:11/ Ps 97:2 ).
4. God is seen and heard (Ex 33:23/ Ex 33:11/ Gen 3:9,10/ Gen 32:30/ Is 6:1/ Ex 24:9-11). God is invisible and cannot be heard (John 1:18/ John 5:37/ Ex 33:20/1 Tim 6:16).
5. God is tired and rests (Ex 31:17 ). God is never tired and never rests (Is 40:28).
6. God is everywhere present, sees and knows all things (Prov 15:3/Ps 139:7-10/Job 34:21-22). God is not everywhere, neither sees nor knows all things (Gen 3:8/Gen 11:5 /Gen 18:20-21).
7. God knows the hearts of men (Acts 1:24/Ps 139:2-3 ). God tries men to find out what is in their heart (Deut 13:3/ Deut 8:2/ Gen 22:12).
8. God is all powerful (Jer 32:27/Matt 19:26). God is not all powerful (Judg 1:19).
9. God is unchangeable (James 1:17/ Mal 3:6/ Ezek 24:14/ Num 23:19 ). God is changeable (Gen 6:6/ Jonah 3:10/1 Sam 2:30-31/2 Kings 20:1,4-6/Ex 33:1, 3, 14, 17 ).
10. God is just and impartial (Ps 92:15/ Gen 18:25/ Deut 32:4/ Rom 2:11/ Ezek 18:25). God is unjust and partial (Gen 9:25/Ex 20:5/Rom 9:11-13/Matt 13:12).
11. God is the author of evil (Lam 3:38/ Jer 18:11/Is 45:7/Amos 3:6/ Ezek 20:25). God is not the author of evil (1 Cor 14:33/ Deut 32:4/ James 1:13).
12. God gives freely to those who ask (James 1:5/ Luke 11:10) . God withholds his blessings and prevents men from receiving them (John 12:40/Josh 11:20/ Is 63:17 ).
13. God is to be found by those who seek him (Matt 7:8/Prov 8:17 ). God is not to be found by those who seek him (Prov 1:28).
14. God is warlike (Ex 15:3/ Is 51:15). God is peaceful (Rom 15:33/1 Cor 14:33)
15. God is cruel, unmerciful, destructive, and ferocious (Jer 13:14/Deut 7:16/1 Sam 15:2-3/1 Sam 6:19). God is kind, merciful, and good (James 5:11/ Lam 3:33/1 Chron 16:34/Ezek 18:32/Ps 145:9/1 Tim 2:4/1 John 4:16/ Ps 25:8 ).
16. God's anger is fierce and endures long (Num 32:13/ Num 25:4/Jer 17:4). God's anger is slow and endures but for a minute (Ps 103:8/ Ps 30:5).

17. God commands, approves of, and delights in burnt offerings, sacrifices and holy days (Ex 29:36/Lev 23:27/Ex 29:18/ Lev 1:9). God disapproves of and has no pleasure in burnt offerings, sacrifices, and holy days (Jer 7:22/Jer 6:20/Ps 50:13,4/Is 1:11-13).
18. God accepts human sacrifices (2 Sam 21:8,9,14/Gen 22:2/Judg 11:30-32,34,38-39).  
God forbids human sacrifice (Deut 12:30-31).
19. God tempts men (Gen 22:1/2 Sam 24:1/Jer 20:7/Matt 6:13). God tempts no man (James 1:13).
20. God cannot lie (Heb 6:18). God lies by proxy; he sends forth lying spirits to deceive (2 Thes 2:11/1 Kings 22:23/Ezek 14:9).
21. Because of man's wickedness God destroys him (Gen 6:5,7). Because of man's wickedness God will not destroy him (Gen 8:21).
22. God's attributes are revealed in his works (Rom 1:20). God's attributes cannot be discovered (Job 11:7/ Is 40:28).
23. There is but one God (Deut 6:4). There is a plurality of gods (Gen 1:26/Gen 3:22/Gen 18:1-3/1 John 5:7).

### **Moral Precepts**

24. Robbery commanded (Ex 3:21,22/Ex 12:35-36). Robbery forbidden (Lev 19:13/Ex 20:15).
25. Lying approved and sanctioned (Josh 2:4-6/James 2:25/Ex 1:18-20/1 Kings 22:21-22). Lying forbidden (Ex 20:16/Prov 12:22/Rev 21:8).
26. Hatred to the Edomite sanctioned (2 Kings 14:3,7). Hatred to the Edomite forbidden (Deut 23:7).
27. Killing commanded (Ex 32:27). Killing forbidden (Ex 20:13).
28. The blood-shedder must die (Gen 9:5-6). The blood-shedder must not die (Gen 4:15).
29. The making of images forbidden (Ex 20:4). The making of images commanded (Ex 25:18, 20).
30. Slavery and oppression ordained (Gen 9:25/Lev 25:45,46/Joel 3:8). Slavery and oppression forbidden (Is 58:6/Ex 22:21/Ex 21:16/Matt 23:10).
31. Improvidence enjoyed (Matt 6:28, 31,34/Luke 6:30,35/Luke 12:3). Improvidence condemned (1 Tim 5:8/ Prov 13:22).
32. Anger approved (Eph 4:26). Anger disapproved (Eccl 7:9/Prov 22:24/James 1:20).
33. Good works to be seen of men (Matt 5:16). Good works not to be seen of men (Matt 6:1).
34. Judging of others forbidden (Matt 7:1-2). Judging of others approved (1 Cor 6:2-4/1 Cor 5:12).
35. Christ taught non-resistance (Matt 5:39/ Matt 26:52). Christ taught and practiced physical resistance (Luke 22:36/John 2:15).
36. Christ warned his followers not to fear being killed (Luke 12:4). Christ himself avoided the Jews for fear of being killed (John 7:1).
37. Public prayer sanctioned (1 Kings 8:22, 54, 9:3). Public prayer disapproved (Matt 6:5-6).
38. Importunity in prayer commended (Luke 18:5, 7) Importunity in prayer condemned (Matt 6:7-8).
39. The wearing of long hair by men sanctioned (Judg 13:5/Num 6:5). The wearing of long hair by men condemned (1 Cor 11:14).
40. Circumcision instituted (Gen 17:10). Circumcision condemned (Gal 5:2).
41. The Sabbath instituted (Ex 20:8). The Sabbath repudiated (Is 1:13/Rom 14:5/Col

- 2:16).
42. The Sabbath instituted because God rested on the seventh day (Ex 20:11). The Sabbath instituted because God brought the Israelites out of Egypt (Deut 5:15).
43. No work to be done on the Sabbath under penalty of death (Ex 31:15/Num 15:32, 36). Jesus Christ broke the Sabbath and justified his disciples in the same (John 5:16/Matt 12:1-3, 5).
44. Baptism commanded (Matt 28:19). Baptism not commanded (1 Cor 1:14, 17).
45. Every kind of animal allowed for food (Gen 9:3/1 Cor 10:25/Rom 14:14). Certain kinds of animals prohibited for food (Deut 14:7, 8).
46. Taking of oaths sanctioned (Num 30:2/Gen 21:23-24, 31/Gen 31:53/ Heb 6:13). Taking of oaths forbidden (Matt 5:34).
47. Marriage approved (Gen 2:18/Gen 1:28/Matt 19:5/Heb 13:4). Marriage disapproved (1 Cor 7:1/1 Cor 7:7-8).
48. Freedom of divorce permitted (Deut 24:1/Deut 21:10-11, 14). Divorce restricted (Matt 5:32).
49. Adultery forbidden (Ex 20:14/Heb 13:4). Adultery allowed (Num 31:18/Hos 1:2; 2:1-3).
50. Marriage or cohabitation with a sister denounced (Deut 27:22/Lev 20:17). Abraham married his sister and God blessed the union (Gen 20:11-12/Gen 17:16).
51. A man may marry his brother's widow (Deut 25:5). A man may not marry his brother's widow (Lev 20:21).
52. Hatred to kindred enjoined (Luke 14:26). Hatred to kindred condemned (Eph 5:25, 29/Eph 6:2).
53. Intoxicating beverages recommended (Prov 31:6,7/1 Tim 5:23/Ps 104:15). Intoxicating beverages discountenanced (Prov 20:1/Prov 23:31-32).
54. It is our duty to obey our rulers, who are God's ministers and punish evil doers only (Rom 13:1-3, 6). It is not our duty to obey rulers, who sometimes punish the good and receive unto themselves damnation (Ex 1:17, 20/Dan 3:16,18/Dan 6:7, 9, 10/Acts 4:26,27/Mark 12:38-40/Luke 23:11, 24, 33, 35).
55. Women's rights denied (Gen 3:16/1 Tim 2:12/1 Cor 14:34/1 Pet 3:6). Women's rights affirmed (Judg 5:7/Acts 2:18/Acts 21:9).
56. Obedience to masters enjoined (Col 3:22-23/1 Pet 2:18). Obedience due to God only (Matt 4:10/1 Cor 7:23/Matt 23:10).
57. There is an unpardonable sin (Mark 3:29). There is not unpardonable sin (Acts 13:39).

### **Historical Facts**

58. Man was created after the other animals (Gen 1:25-27). Man was created before the other animals (Gen 2:18-19).
59. Seed time and harvest were never to cease (Gen 8:22). Seed time and harvest did cease for seven years (Gen 41:54,56/Gen 45:6).
60. God hardened Pharaoh's heart (Ex 4:21/Ed 9:12). Pharaoh hardened his own heart (Ex 8:15).
61. All the cattle and horses in Egypt died (Ex 9:3). All the horses of Egypt did not die (Ex 14:9).
62. Moses feared Pharaoh (Ex 2:14-15, 23; 4:19). Moses did not fear Pharaoh (Heb 11:27).
63. There died of the plague twenty-four thousand (Num 25:9). There died of the plague but twenty-three thousand (1 Cor 10:8).

64. John the Baptist was Elias (Matt 11:14). John the Baptist was not Elias (John 1:21).
65. The father of Joseph, Mary's husband was Jacob (Matt 1:16). The father of Mary's husband was Heli (Luke 3:23).
66. The father of Salah was Arphaxad (Gen 11:12). The father of Salah was Cainan (Luke 3:35-36).
67. There were fourteen generations from Abraham to David (Matt 1:17). There were but thirteen generations from Abraham to David (Matt 1:2-6).
68. There were fourteen generations from the Babylonian captivity to Christ (Matt 1:17). There were but thirteen generations from the Babylonian captivity to Christ (Matt 1:12-16).
69. The infant Christ was taken into Egypt (Matt 2:14-15, 19, 21, 23). The infant Christ was not taken into Egypt (Luke 2:22, 39).
70. Christ was tempted in the wilderness (Mark 1:12-13). Christ was not tempted in the wilderness (John 2:1-2).
71. Christ preached his first sermon on the mount (Matt 5:1-2). Christ preached his first sermon on the plain (Luke 6:17, 20).
72. John was in prison when Jesus went into Galilee (Mark 1:14). John was not in prison when Jesus went into Galilee (John 1:43/John 3:22-24).
73. Christ's disciples were commanded to go forth with a staff and sandals (Mark 6:8-9). Christ's disciples were commanded to go forth with neither staffs nor sandals. (Matt 10:9-10).
74. A woman of Canaan besought Jesus (Matt 15:22). It was a Greek woman who besought Him (Mark 7:26).
75. Two blind men besought Jesus (Matt 20:30). Only one blind man besought Him (Luke 18:35, 38).
76. Christ was crucified at the third hour (Mark 15:25). Christ was not crucified until the sixth hour (John 19:14-15).
77. The two thieves reviled Christ (Matt 27:44/Mark 15:32). Only one of the thieves reviled Christ (Luke 23:39-40).
78. Satan entered into Judas while at supper (John 13:27). Satan entered into him before the supper (Luke 22:3-4, 7).
79. Judas committed suicide by hanging (Matt 27:5). Judas did not hang himself, but died another way (Acts 1:18).
80. The potter's field was purchased by Judas (Acts 1:18). The potter's field was purchased by the Chief Priests (Matt 27:6-7).
81. There was but one woman who came to the sepulchre (John 20:1). There were two women who came to the sepulchre (Matt 28:1).
82. There were three women who came to the sepulchre (Mark 16:1). There were more than three women who came to the sepulchre (Luke 24:10).
83. It was at sunrise when they came to the sepulchre (Mark 16:2). It was some time before sunrise when they came (John 20:1).
84. There were two angels seen by the women at the sepulcher and they were standing up (Luke 24:4). There was but one angel seen, and he was sitting down (Matt 28:2, 5).
85. There were two angels seen within the sepulcher (John 20:11-12). There was but one angel seen within the sepulchre (Mark 16:5).
86. Christ was to be three days and three nights in the grave (Matt 12:40). Christ was but two days and two nights in the grave (Mark 15:25, 42, 44-46; 16:9).
87. Holy ghost bestowed at pentecost (Acts 1:5, 8). Holy ghost bestowed before pentecost (John 20:22).

88. The disciples were commanded immediately after the resurrection to go into Galilee (Matt 28:10). The disciples were commanded immediately after the resurrection to go tarry at Jerusalem (Luke 24:49).
89. Jesus first appeared to the eleven disciples in a room at Jerusalem (Luke 24:33,36-37/ John 20:19). Jesus first appeared to the eleven on a mountain in Galilee (Matt 28:16-17).
90. Christ ascended from Mount Olivet (Acts 1:9,12). Christ ascended from Bethany (Luke 24:50-51).
91. Paul's attendants heard the miraculous voice and stood speechless (Acts 9:7). Paul's attendants heard not the voice and were prostrate (Acts 26:14).
92. Abraham departed to go into Canaan (Gen 12:5). Abraham went not knowing where (Heb 11:8).
93. Abraham had two sons (Gal 4:22). Abraham had but one son (Heb 11:17).
94. Keturah was Abraham's wife (Gen 25:1). Keturah was Abraham's concubine (1 Chron 1:32).
95. Abraham begat a son when he was a hundred years old, by the interposition of Providence (Gen 21:2/ Rom 4:19/Heb 11:12). Abraham begat six children more after he was a hundred years old without any interposition of providence (Gen 25:1-2).
96. Jacob bought a sepulchre from Hamor (Josh 24:32). Abraham bought it of Hamor (Acts 7:16).
97. God promised the land of Canaan to Abraham and his seed forever (Gen 13:14-15,17; 17:8). Abraham and his seed never received the promised land (Acts 7:5/Heb 11:9, 13).
98. Goliath was slain by Elhanan (2 Sam 21:19). The brother of Goliath was slain by Elhanan (1 Chron 20:5).
99. Ahaziah began to reign in the twelfth year of Joram (2 Kings 8:25). Ahaziah began to reign in the eleventh year of Joram (2 Kings 9:29).
100. Michal had no child (2 Sam 6:23). Michal had five children (2 Sam 21:8).
101. David was tempted by the Lord to number Israel (2 Sam 24:1). David was tempted by Satan to number the people (1 Chron 21:1).
102. The number of fighting men of Israel was 800,000; and of Judah 500,000 (2 Sam 24:9). The number of fighting men of Israel was 1,100,000; and of Judah 470,000 (1 Chron 21:5).
103. David sinned in numbering the people (2 Sam 24:10). David never sinned, except in the matter of Uriah (1 Kings 15:5).
104. One of the penalties of David's sin was seven years of famine (2 Sam 24:13). It was not seven years, but three years of famine (1 Chron 21:11-12).
105. David took seven hundred horsemen (2 Sam 8:4). David took seven thousand horsemen (1 Chron 18:4).
106. David bought a threshing floor for fifty shekels of silver (2 Sam 24:24). David bought the threshing floor for six hundred shekels of gold (1 Chron 21:25).
107. David's throne was to endure forever (Ps 89:35-37). David's throne was cast down (Ps 89:44).

### **Speculative Doctrines**

108. Christ is equal with God (John 10:30/Phil 2:5). Christ is not equal with God (John 14:28/Matt 24:36).
109. Jesus was all-powerful (Matt 28:18/John 3:35). Jesus was not all-powerful (Mark 6:5).
110. The law was superseded by the Christian dispensation (Luke 16:16/Eph 2:15/Rom 7:6). The law was not superseded by the Christian dispensation (Matt 5:17-19).
111. Christ's mission was peace (Luke 2:13-14). Christ's mission was not peace (Matt

10:34).

112. Christ received not testimony from man (John 5:33-34). Christ did receive testimony from man (John 15:27).

113. Christ's witness of himself is true (John 8:14,18). Christ's witness of himself is not true (John 5:31).

114. Christ laid down his life for his friends (John 15:13/ John 10:11). Christ laid down his life for his enemies (Rom 5:10).

115. It was lawful for the Jews to put Christ to death (John 19:7). It was not lawful for the Jews to put Christ to death (John 18:31).

116. Children are punished for the sins of the parents (Ex 20:5). Children are not punished for the sins of the parents (Ezek 18:20 ).

117. Man is justified by faith alone (Rom 3:20/ Rom 4:2/Gal 2:16/Gal 3:11-12). Man is not justified by faith alone (James 2:21,24/Rom 2:13).

118. It is impossible to fall from grace (John 10:28/Rom 8:38-39). It is possible to fall from grace (Ezek 18:24/Heb 6:4-6/2 Pet 2:20-21).

119. No man is without sin (1 Kings 8:46/Prov 20:9/Eccl 7:20/Rom 3:10). Christians are sinless (1 John 3: 6, 8-9).

120. There is to be a resurrection of the dead (1 Cor 15:52/Rev 20:12,13/Luke 20:37/ 1Cor 15:16). There is to be no resurrection of the dead (Job 7:9/Eccl 9:5/Is 26:14).

121. Reward and punishment to be bestowed in this world (Prov 11:31). Reward and punishment to be bestowed in the next world (Rev 20:12/Matt 16:27/2 Cor 5:10).

122. Annihilation the portion of all mankind (Job 3: 11,13-17,19-22/Eccl 9:5,10/Eccl 3:19-20). Endless misery the portion of all mankind (Dan 12:2/Matt 25:46/ Rev 14:11/ Rev 20:10-15).

123. The Earth is to be destroyed (2 Pet 3:10/ Heb 1:11/ Rev 20:11). The Earth is never to be destroyed (Ps 104:5/Eccl 1:4).

124. No evil shall happen to the godly (Prov 12:21/1 Pet 3:13). Evil does happen to the godly (Heb 12:6/Job 2:3,7).

125. Worldly good and prosperity are the lot of the godly ( Gen 39:2/Prov 12:21/Ps 37:28,32-33,37/Ps 1:1, 3 /Job 42:12). Worldly misery and destitution the lot of the godly (Heb 11:37,38/ 2 Tim 3:12/Luke 21:17/Rev 7:14/).

126. Worldly prosperity a reward of righteousness and a blessing (Mark 10:29-30/Ps 37:25/Ps 112:1, 3/Job 22:23,24/Prov 15:6). Worldly prosperity a curse and a bar to future reward (Luke 6:20, 24/Matt 6:19, 21/Luke 16:22/ Matt 19:24/Luke 6:24).

127. The Christian yoke is easy (Matt 11:28-30). The Christian yoke is not easy (John 16:33/2 Tim 3:12/ Heb 12:6, 8).

128. The fruit of God's spirit is love and gentleness (Gal 5:22). The fruit of God's spirit is vengeance and fury (Judg 15:14/1 Sam 18:10-11).

129. Longevity enjoyed by the wicked (Job 21:7-8/Ps 17:14/Eccl 8:12/Is 65:20).

Longevity denied to the wicked (Eccl 8:13/ Ps 55:23/Prov 10:27/Job 36:14/Eccl 7:17).

130. Poverty a blessing (Luke 6:20,24/Jams 2:5). Riches a blessing (Prov 10:15/Job 22:23-24/ Job 42:12). Neither poverty nor riches a blessing (Prov 30:8-9).

131. Wisdom a source of enjoyment (Prov 3:13,17). Wisdom a source of vexation, grief and sorrow (Eccl 1:17-18).

132. A good name is a blessing (Eccl 7:1/Prov 22:1). A good name is a curse (Luke 6:26).

133. Laughter commended (Eccl 3:1,4/Eccl 8:15). Laughter condemned (Luke 6:25/Eccl 7:3-4).

134. The rod of correction a remedy for foolishness (Prov 22:15). There is no remedy for foolishness (Prov 27:22).

135. A fool should be answered according to his folly (Prov 26:5). A fool should not be answered according to his folly (Prov 26:4).
136. Temptation to be desired (James 1:2). Temptation not to be desired (Matt 6:13).
137. Prophecy is sure (2 Pet 1:19). Prophecy is not sure (Jer 18:7-10).
138. Man's life was to be one hundred and twenty years (Gen 6:3/Ps 90:10). Man's life is but seventy years (Ps 90:10).
139. The fear of man was to be upon every beast (Gen 9:2). The fear of man is not upon the lion (Prov 30:30).
140. Miracles a proof of divine mission (Matt 11:2-5/John 3:2/Ex 14:31). Miracles not a proof of divine mission (Ex 7:10-12/Deut 13:1-3/Luke 11:19).
141. Moses was a very meek man (Num 12:3). Moses was a very cruel man (Num 31:15, 17).
142. Elijah went up to heaven (2 Kings 2:11). None but Christ ever ascended into heaven (John 3:13).
143. All scripture is inspired (2 Tim 3:16). Some scripture is not inspired (1 Cor 7:6/1 Cor 7:12/2 Cor 11:17).

### **The Big Question: Why Didn't The Bible Scribes/Scholars Catch the Mistakes?**

For thousands of years, the books of the bible have been copied, altered, translated into other languages (thus losing some of the original meaning), edited, "reinterpreted," so forth. This was done in various places in the ancient world and the medieval world. The scribes in Memphis, Jerusalem, Greece, so forth, and the "illuminated" monks did not connect with each other to compare texts.

Although comprehensive, travel was slow. Until modern times, people had to rely on ships, donkeys, carriages, camels, so forth, for transportation. If travel was slow, so was mail.

Travel, problems with languages, costs, long lengths of time to travel, cultural problems, politics and more made it impossible for many bible scribes in any time to connect together and catch and change the mistakes. Also, how do you decide the Big Things like if the Earth is to be Destroyed (2 Pet 3:10/Heb 1:11/Rev 20:11) or if the Earth is never to be Destroyed (Ps 104:5/ Eccl 1:4)? Who decides? By what criteria? What if powerful others don't agree? More people have been killed in the name of religion than any other name, including Christianity. Trying to change the bible is dangerous.

There were "councils" convened over the centuries to debate the flaws/inconsistencies of the "holy teachings" including the problems in the bible, but these councils usually were volatile, argumentative and thus usually accomplished little. The "Holy See" (the Pope) sometimes tried to intervene with their own "interpretations" and dogma, but there were always sects within the church who wouldn't agree.

Today's bible is a patchwork of books that were selected by the Council of Trent to become the uniform bible as it is today (more or less). Before that, many "holy texts" were used or referred to. There was no consistency about what was read, preached and taught about except for the first five books of the Old Testament and the first seven books of the New Testament.

The Roman Catholic Empire was huge, stretching all over the "civilization" and thus, there were many sects and groups within it that favored some "holy" books to others.

Back in the times of the Council of Trent, only a small percentage of the population could read. The few people who could read, read at different levels from different backgrounds and experiences.

These factors and others are the reason why the bible was never completely codified and the inconsistencies, hypocrisies and/or other errancies were not completely eradicated

### **Moloch, the “Other God”**

In the Ancient World of the Bible, Moloch/Molech/Ba'al was probably the “superstar” god of that time. He must have had many worshippers because his temples were everywhere. Some theologians date his worship as thousands of years older than that of “Jehovah” and oftentimes connect his worship/religion to the sun god religion of Egypt. Whatever the facts, Moloch and his worship *is very ancient* and *more ancient* than the Israelites' religion which morphed from the other religions at that time.

Moloch is a human sacrifice god who bestows blessings to people who sacrifice their first-born, other children or other people to him. He is the god of death and he likes to drink blood. His ego is feed (arrogance) and his be-ing is energized from the blood wine. This makes him a Vampire God. More,

Moloch or Ba'al or whatever name he was called, has no conscience, thus he is psychopathic.

A psychopathic god is a terrible one. At least for those who must live under his domain, domination, rule, rules and demands/commands.

But first, you need to understand psychopathy to understand this god and his influence over us through his institutions, because unknown to the most of the people of this planet, most psychopaths aren't mass murderers or career criminals. Most psychopaths hide themselves under “a mask of sanity” and unfortunately, these hidden psychopaths make up at least 6% of the world's population.

### **Psychopathy**

What distinguishes all of these people from the rest of us is an utterly empty hole in the psyche, where there should be the most evolved of all humanizing functions.

“The Psychopath Next Door,” Martha Stout, Ph.D.

Many people know nothing about psychopathy, or if they do, they think of it only in terms of violent psychopathy: murderers, serial killers, mass murderers, dictators like Hitler, torturers, serial rapists, child molesters, so forth.

People are not commonly aware of the fact that psychopaths live all around us and most do their harm privately, through family members, neighbors, co-workers, pets, friends, so forth. These destructive and self-destructive people have “mean streaks,” and they like to bully, manipulate, start trouble, meditate on evil, lie when caught and have no real remorse for the suffering they cause others. These are the psychopathic people whom our formal legal and social systems provide little defense and relief from.

Psychopathy is currently defined in psychiatry and clinical psychology as a condition

characterized by lack of empathy or conscience, and poor impulse control or manipulative behaviors. It is a term derived from the Greek psyche (*soul, breath hence mind*) and pathos (*to suffer*), and was once used to denote any form of mental illness, often being confused with psychosis.

In current clinical use, psychopathy is most commonly diagnosed using the checklist devised by Emeritus Professor Robert Hare. He describes psychopaths as "intraspecies predators who use charm, manipulation, intimidation, and violence to control others and to satisfy their own selfish needs. Lacking in conscience and in feelings for others, they take what they want and do as they please, violating social norms and expectations without guilt or remorse. What is missing, in other words, are the very qualities that allow a human being to live in social harmony." (Source: Wikipedia)

What Wikipedia does not say is that psychopaths live in fear of being discovered, denounced and rejected (psychopaths lose their power when this happens and if they are mortal, are usually punished or exiled by the nexus). Because of their fears of being caught, thus disempowered, psychopaths try to hide their psychopathy and psychopathic agendas, even when discovered.

When they are "discovered," psychopaths will use "wonderland lingo" to call their acts something else. They will lie in the face of facts. They will use every trick they can to create chaos to confuse everyone and suck away their power so the psychopaths can stay in power. This explains another reason why Moloch or the god of evil was hidden away from people.

For the best description of psychopathy, see <http://www.cassiopedia.org/wiki/index.php?title=Psychopathy>

A copy of this can be found in the Addendum.

### **Three Kinds of Psychopaths**

There are three kinds of psychopaths. The first group and usually the more dangerous, are born psychopathic and psychiatrists and scientists attribute it to many things, including weakened genes by inbreeding. This would explain the psychopathic behavior of world leaders (before and now) as royalty and the wealthy marry each other for "unholy alliances" of wealth and power.

The second group of psychopaths are those individuals who've had injuries, trauma or disease to the brain.

The third group is what is known as "Secondary Psychopaths," and many "experts" attribute it to learned behavior (from a psychopathic, savage society).

### **Synthesized/Socially Engineered Psychopathy**

The American way of life, thus culture and society, has optimized the survival of psychopathy. When you live in a world of psychopaths, those who are not genetic psychopaths are induced to behave like psychopaths simply to survive. As Laura Knight-Jadczyk writes, "When the rules are set up to make a society "adaptive" to psychopathy, it makes psychopaths of everyone" ([http://www.cassiopaea.org/cass/official\\_culture.htm](http://www.cassiopaea.org/cass/official_culture.htm)). As a consequence, a very

large number of Americans are effective sociopaths.

Laura Knight-Jadczyk also writes in the same article, "It is because psychopathy is almost required to survive in Competitive, Capitalistic America.

As a society gets larger and more competitive, individuals become more anonymous and more Machiavellian. Social stratification and segregation leads to feelings of inferiority, pessimism and depression among the have-nots, and this promotes the use of "cheating strategies" in life which then makes the environment more adaptive for psychopathy in general.

Psychopathic behavior among non-genetic psychopaths could be viewed as a functional method of obtaining desirable resources, increasing an individual's status in a local group, and even a means of providing stimulation that socially and financially successful people find in acceptable physical and intellectual challenges. In other words, the psychopath is a bored and frustrated sensation-seeker who "does not have the intellectual capacity to amuse and occupy himself" internally. Such individuals may begin their lives in the lower socio-economic levels, but they often rise to the top."

### **Unable to Fathom Future Consequences of Behavior**

Cautious, critical and Sensitive for Only the Truth, I am careful of recommending any websites or blogs. There is a blog I read with passion, particularly because it intelligently and accurately explains world events, rule and history by tying in and exposing psychopathy. This blog is written by Laura Knight-Jadczyk and you can read her excellent writing at <http://laura-knight-jadczyk.blogspot.com/>

Laura Knight-Jadczyk speaks of how psychopaths can not fathom the future consequences of their destructive ways and visions: "...Psychopaths are simply incapable of conceiving of future consequences. That's why they can't really learn anything abstract. That's why governments under psychopathic rule always fall to rack and ruin in a very short time. The old image of Nero fiddling while Rome burned is an apt metaphor for psychopaths in power."

"Those in power keep their focus on making more and more money, gaining more and more power, and they do not even realize that conditions have already reached the point where, very soon, there is not going to be any place to spend the money and no one over whom to exercise that power. Psychopaths are like germs that do not realize that they, too, will die with the death of the body they have infected." (<http://laura-knight-jadczyk.blogspot.com/> The Younger Dryas Impact Event and the Cycles of Cosmic Catastrophes - Climate Scientists Awakening, June 18, 2007)

### **Monopolists**

In Knight-Jadczyk's classic work, *Ignota nulla curatio morbi!* (June 3, 2007), she writes: "It is psychopaths who have arrogated the world's wealth to themselves. Psychopaths are always and ever monopolists of the world's wealth, and they justify their having the wealth by their various pathological economic theories. It is psychopaths that say that "common" people "breed like rabbits and that if the masses had money and land and leisure they would just increase the population until their new land was just as teeming with poverty and stench as before". What is hidden behind this paramoralistic ideology is the truth that psychopaths fear the population of normal humans with conscience and a feeling of connection to other human beings."

## Psychopathic Need for Control

The psychopath feeds an endless internal hunger for control, excitement ("hypoarousal") and ego-recognition. Threat of punishment, even painful punishment, means nothing to the psychopath. They act recklessly and they repeat the same destructive acts, as well as seek thrills and dangers without regard for possible risks, particularly to others.

One of their biggest destructive acts is control. The psychopath is obsessed with control even if they try give others the impression of being helpless themselves. Their pretense to emotional sensitivity is really part of their control function: The higher the level of belief in the psychopath that can be induced in their victim through their dramas, the more "control" the psychopath believes they have. This true. This is true because psychopaths only have power if people give their power away to them.

## The Mask of Sanity

Hervey Cleckley's book *The Mask of Sanity*, the absolutely essential study of the psychopath who is not necessarily of the criminal type. You can read this very important book at [http://www.cassiopaea.org/cass/sanity\\_1.PdF](http://www.cassiopaea.org/cass/sanity_1.PdF)

Cleckley, a psychiatrist, also gives grounds for the view that psychopathy is quite common in the community at large. He writes about the cases of psychopaths who generally function normally in the community as businessmen, doctors, and even psychiatrists.

Cleckley writes about psychopaths, "His outer functional aspect masks or disguises something quite different within, concealing behind a perfect mimicry of normal emotion, fine intelligence, and social responsibility a grossly disabled and irresponsible personality."

Being bored, he will seek to cut up more than the ordinary person to relieve the tedium of his unrewarding existence. This is how he gets his excitement.

One very interesting aspect of the psychopath is his "hidden life" that is sometimes not too well hidden. Psychopaths have a regular need to take a "vacation into filth and degradation" the same way normal people may take a vacation to a resort where they enjoy beautiful surroundings and culture.

Cleckley speculates **on what was "really wrong" with these people. He comes very close to suggesting that they are human in every respect - but that they lack a soul.** This lack of "soul quality" makes them very efficient "machines." They can be brilliant, write scholarly works, imitate the *words* of emotion, but over time, it becomes clear that their words do not match their actions.

Being very efficient machines, like a computer, they are able to execute very complex routines designed to elicit from others support for what they want. In this way, many psychopaths are able to reach very high positions in life. It is only over time that their associates become aware of the fact that their climb up the ladder of success is predicated on violating the rights of others.

The psychopath recognizes no flaw in his psyche, no need for change. It is the outside world of “normal people,” that is wrong, not his/her twisted world.

### **A Psychopathic World is A World of Active Death**

The concept of an active death instinct was postulated by Freud and has been utilized by some to account for socially self-destructive reactions. I’ve read several articles about this and have come to the conclusion: I don’t believe it unless Freud is talking primarily about psychopaths. This is because people with consciences don’t want a Death World, even though it has been heavily marketed, sold and forced upon the world for several millennia. Thus, people with consciences try to avoid any kind of active death instinct, whether external or internal. That’s what Consciences do.

But there is definitely a hyper-active death instinct in psychopaths. Their inner world is a Death World: A World they seek to impose on the majority of people, who are not psychopaths. For only through negativity, including the negative energy of death, can they feed. They are secret vampires of a kind. A Death World gives their sickness plenty of food to feed upon and continue to fuel the cycle of psychopathy.

### **To Turn the World Into Hell, You Have to “Lead”**

There is an excellent book that describes why most politicians are psychopaths. It is called, “Political Ponerology: A Science on The Nature of Evil adjusted for Political Purposes” and it is by Andrew M. Lobaczewski with commentary and additional quoted material by Laura Knight-Jadczyk.

Below are some quotes from the book:

The actions of [Pathocratic rule] affect an entire society, starting with the leaders and infiltrating every village, small town, factory, or collective farm. The pathological social structure gradually covers the entire country, creating a "new class" within that nation. This privileged class feels permanently threatened by the "others", i.e. by the majority of normal people. Neither do the pathocrats entertain any illusions about their personal fate should there be a return to the system of normal man.

A normal person deprived of privilege or high positions goes about performing some work which would earn him a living; but pathocrats never possessed any solid practical talent, and the time frame of their rule has eliminated any residual possibilities of adapting to the demands of normal work. If the law of normal man were to be reinstalled, they and theirs could be subjected to judgment, including a moralizing interpretation of their psychological deviations; they would be threatened by a loss of freedom and life, not merely a loss of position and privilege. Since they are incapable of this kind of sacrifice, the survival of a system which is the best for them becomes a moral idea. Such a threat must be battled by means of psychological and political cunning and a lack of scruples with regard to those other "inferior-quality" people.

Pathocracy survives thanks to the feeling of being threatened by the society of normal people, as well as by other countries wherein various forms of the system of normal man persist. For the rulers, staying on the top is therefore the classic problem of "to be or not to be".

The biological, psychological, moral, and economic destruction of this majority [of normal people] is thus a "biological" necessity. Many means serve this end, starting with concentration camps and including warfare with an obstinate, well-armed foe who will devastate and debilitate the human power thrown at him, namely the very power jeopardizing pathocrats rule. Once safely dead, the soldiers will then be decreed heroes to be revered in paeans, useful for raising a new generation faithful to the pathocracy. ...

After all, pathocrats give short shrift to blood and suffering of people they consider to be not quite conspecific. Kings may have suffered due to the death of their knights, but pathocrats never do: "We have a lot of people here." ...

Pathocracy has other internal reasons for pursuing expansionism through the use of all means possible. As long as that "other" world governed by the systems of normal man exists, it inducts into and within the strivings of the non-pathological majority, thereby creating a certain sense of direction. The non-pathological majority of the country's population will never stop dreaming of the reinstatement of the normal man's system in any possible form. This majority [of normal people] will never stop watching other countries, waiting for the opportune moment; its attention and power must therefore be distracted from this purpose, and the masses must be educated and channeled in the direction of imperialist strivings. This goal must be pursued doggedly so that everyone knows what is being fought for and in whose name harsh discipline and poverty must be endured. The latter factor effectively limits the possibility of "subversive" activities on the part of the society of normal people. ...

The ideology must of course furnish a corresponding justification for this alleged right to conquer the world and must therefore be properly elaborated. Expansionism is derived from the very nature of pathocracy, not from ideology, but this fact must be masked by ideology. Whenever this phenomenon has been witnessed in history, imperialism was always its most demonstrative quality.

However, many countries with normal man's [social and political] systems abound in sufficiency as far as industrial products are concerned and experience problems with their food surpluses even though there are temporary economic recessions and the citizens are by no means overworked. The temptation to dominate such a country and its prosperity, that perennial imperialist motive, thus becomes even more strong. The collected prosperity of the conquered nation can be exploited for a time, the citizens forced to work harder for paltry remuneration. For the moment, no thought is given to the fact that introducing a pathocratic system within such a country will eventually cause similar unproductive conditions; after all corresponding self-knowledge in this area is nonexistent [to pathological deviants].

Unfortunately, the idea of conquering rich countries also motivates the minds of many poor non-pathological fellows who would like to use this opportunity to grab something for themselves and eat their fill of good food.

As has been the case for centuries, military power is of course the primary means for achieving these ends. Throughout the centuries, though, whenever history has registered the appearance of the phenomenon [of the rise to power of pathological types] (regardless of the ideological cloak covering it), specific measures of [achieving and maintaining control] have also become apparent: something in the order of specific intelligence in the service of international intrigue facilitating conquest. This quality is derived from the ... personality characteristics inspiring the overall phenomenon [that of psychopathy]; it should constitute data for historians to identify this type of phenomenon throughout history."

Lobaczewski gives an average figure for the most active psychopathic deviants average approximately 6% of a given population. Of course, this figure will vary from country to country depending on many variables/factors/dynamics, including culture. Western society has a broad selection of susceptible individuals due to religious conditioning.

Judaism and its “spin-off” religions, Christianity and Islam, are particularly suited to the crafty schemes of psychopathy. Judaism is the ultimate tool of psychopathy: a psychopathic God and a psychopathic religion. This has resulted in a social, thus cultural effect for the past several thousand years to promote, influence, force, propagate and sustain a psychopathic world, literally and metaphorically.

The percentage of psychopathy is higher in the US and western countries for another reason: Western style capitalism attracts and selects for psychopathy.

Years later, I better understand the Truth of this. I can’t recall how many times I applied to work for corporations in America, only to be told that they wouldn’t hire me because I “wasn’t the right person.” Of course I was filtered out because I was a female and came from a lower class, but I did not meet the established patriarchal beauty standards of society (age, body size, non-blonde, so forth).

For years, I always suspected that there was another, hidden reason why I was never hired, especially when I am so bright, talented and educated. I had to write this book to learn why I was rejected at the marketplace. The major reason why corporations wouldn’t hire me was because I have a Conscience and they look for employees without one. When profit is the only motivator, the systems to operate and sustain this psychopathic system must be “manned” by those are psychopathic like their masters.

I was a good person, thus the psychopaths who watch the gates for the psychopathic system weeded me out. This forced economic isolation and starvation.

Sure, they hire non-psychopaths, but these “cheerleaders” and “yes men” are willing to lose their Conscience, thus their Soul, in service to the psychopathic puppetmasters that control every sphere of society. Psychopaths can always pick these ruthlessly ambitious people out of the crowd.

Psychopaths and their representatives can also pick other kinds of people out of the crowd; people who will faithfully serve them as secondary psychopaths. One predominant group is the people who are willing to sell their lives and souls for extra longevity, because as everyone knows, poverty kills. Those who are chosen as guards to the System get privileges (better wages, better prestige, better food, better housing, medical care, better lives, so forth) that can add extra years on lives instead of subtract years from lives like poverty does.

### **Back to Moloch: One God or More?**

The bible mentions many gods and calls it’s “god” many names, including Lord, Jehovah and Yahweh. The bible proclaims power over the other gods by saying in the Ten Commandments, “Thou shalt fear Yahweh thy God, and serve him, and shalt swear by his

name. Ye shall not go after other gods, of the gods of the people which are round about you; (For Yahweh thy God is a jealous God among you) lest the anger of Yahweh thy God be kindled against thee, and destroy thee from off the face of the earth.” (Deuteronomy 6:13-15). Jealousy is a sign of a psychopathic, malevolent god, not a loving, benevolent god.

I found 28 passages in the bible that say there is “one god”:

1. DEUTERONOMY 4:35,39 — Unto thee it was shown, that thou mightest know that the LORD he is God; there is none else beside him. (39) Know therefore this day, and consider it in thine heart, that the LORD he is God in heaven above, and upon the earth beneath: there is none else.

2. DEUTERONOMY 6:4 — Hear, O Israel: The LORD thy God is one LORD

3. DEUTERONOMY 32:39 — See now that I, even I, am he, and there is no god with me: I kill, and I make alive; I wound, and I heal: neither is there any that can deliver out of my hand.

4. 2 SAMUEL 7:22 — Wherefore thou art great, O LORD God; for there is none like thee, neither is there any God beside thee, according to all that we have heard with our ears.

5. 1 KINGS 8:60 — That all the people of the earth may know that the LORD is God, and that there is none else.

6. 2 KINGS 5:15 — And he returned to the man of God, he and all his company, and came, and stood before him: and he said, Behold, now I know that there is no God in all the earth, but in Israel; now therefore, I pray thee, take a blessing of thy servant.

7. 2 KINGS 19:15 — And Hezekiah prayed before the LORD, and said, O LORD God of Israel, which dwellest between the cherubims, thou art the God, even thou alone, of all the kingdoms of the earth; thou hast made heaven and earth.

8. 1 CHRONICLES 17:20 — O LORD, there is none like thee, neither is there any God beside thee, according to all that we have heard with our ears.

9. NEHEMIAH 9:6 — Thou, even thou, art LORD alone; thou has made heaven, the heaven of heavens, with all their host, the earth, and all things that are therein, the seas, and all that is therein, and thou preservest them all; and the host of heaven worshippeth thee.

10. PSALM 18:31 — For who is God save the LORD? or who is a rock save our God?

11. PSALM 86:10 — For thou art great, and doest wondrous things: thou art God alone.

12. ISAIAH 37:16,20 — O LORD of hosts, God of Israel, that dwellest between the cherubims, thou art the God, even thou alone, of all the kingdoms of the earth: thou has made heaven and earth. (20) Now therefore, O LORD our God, save us from his hand, that all the kingdoms of the earth may know that thou art the LORD, even thou only.

13. ISAIAH 43:10,11 — Ye are my witnesses, saith the LORD, and my servant whom I have chosen: that ye may know and believe me, and understand that I am he: before me there was no God formed, neither shall there be after me. I, even I, am the LORD; and beside me there is no savior.

14. ISAIAH 44:6,8 — Thus saith the LORD the King of Israel, and his redeemer the LORD of hosts; I am the first, and I am the last; and beside me there is no God. Fear ye not, neither be afraid; have not I told thee from that time, and have declared it? ye are even my witnesses. Is there a God beside me? yea, there is no God; I know not any.

15. ISAIAH 45:21 — Tell ye, and bring them near; yea, let them take counsel together: who hath declared this from ancient time: who hath told it from that time? have not I the LORD? and there is no God else beside me; a just God and a Savior; there is none beside me.

16. ISAIAH 46:9 — For I am God, and there is none else; I am God, and there is none like me.

17. HOSEA 13:4 — Yet I am the LORD thy God from the land of Egypt, and thou shalt know no god but me; for there is no savior beside me.

18. JOEL 2:27 — And ye shall know that I am in the midst of Israel, and that I am the LORD your God, and none else: and my people shall never be ashamed.

19. ZECHARIAH 14:9 — And the LORD shall be king over all the earth: in that day shall there be one Lord, and his name one.

20. MARK 12:29-34 — And Jesus answered him, The first of all the commandments is, Hear, O Israel; The Lord our God is one Lord: And thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thy heart, and with all thy soul, and with all thy mind, and with all thy strength: this is the first commandment. And the second is like, namely this, thou shalt love thy neighbor as thyself. There is none other commandment greater than these. And the scribe said unto him, Well, Master, thou hast said the truth: for there is one God; and there is none other but he: And to love him with all the heart, and with all the understanding, and with all the soul, and with all the strength, and to love his neighbor as himself, is more than all whole burnt offerings and sacrifices. And when Jesus saw that he answered discreetly, he said unto him, Thou art not far from the kingdom of God. And no man after that durst ask him any question.

21. JOHN 17:3 — And this is life eternal, that they might know thee the only true God, and Jesus Christ, whom thou hast sent.

22. ROMANS 3:30 — Seeing it is one God, which shall justify the circumcision by faith, and uncircumcision through faith.

23. 1 CORINTHIANS 8:4-6 — As concerning therefore the eating of those things that are offered in sacrifice unto idols, we know that an idol is nothing in the world, and that there is none other God but one. For though there be that are called gods, whether in heaven or in earth, (as there be gods many, and lords many,) But to us there is but one God, the Father, of whom all things, and we in him; and one Lord Jesus Christ, by whom are all things, and we by him.

24. GALATIANS 3:20 — Now a mediator is not a mediator of one, but God is one.
25. EPHESIANS 4:6 — One God and Father of all, who is above all, and through all, and in you all.
26. 1 TIMOTHY 1:17 — Now unto the King eternal, immortal, invisible, the only wise God, be honour and glory for ever and ever. Amen.
27. 1 TIMOTHY 2:5 — For there is one God, and one mediator between God and men, the man Christ Jesus.
28. JAMES 2:19 — Thou believest that there is one God; thou doest well: the devils also believe, and tremble.

### **Then There Are Other Gods Are Mentioned In the Bible**

Here are 27 references for them and it's a sample:

Adrammelech	II Kings 17:31	(Sepharvite God)
Anammelech	II Kings 17:31	(Sepharvite God)
Ashima	II Kings 17:30	(Samaritan Moon Goddess)
Ashtoreth	I Kings 11:05	(Canaanite Goddess)
Baal	I Kings 18:19	(Canaanite God "Lord" of fertility, storms, etc.)
Baal-berith	Judges 8:33	(A regional variation/aspect of Baal)
Baal-peor	Numbers 25:03	(Moabite regional variation/aspect of Baal)
Baal-zebul	Luke 11:19	(Philistine/Ekronian regional variation of Baal)
Baalim	I Kings 18:18	(Different aspects of Canaanite Gods/"Lords")
Bel	Isaiah 46:01	(Assyrian/Babylonian/Sumerian God/"Lord")
Chemosh	I Kings 11:07	(Moabite war God)
Dagon	I Samuel 05:02	(Philistine Babylonian God of agriculture)
Diana of the Ephesians	Acts 19:35	(Ephesian moon and nature Goddess)
Jehovah	Exodus 6:03	(Hebrew God)
Jupiter	Acts 14:12	(Roman God)
Lucifer	Isiah 14:12	(God of this world)
Mercurius	Acts 14:12	(Roman God)
Meshlamthea /Nergal	II Kings 17:30	(Cuth/Assyrian/Babylonian war/underworld God)
Milcom	I Kings 11:05	(Ammonite God)
Molech	I Kings 11:07	(Ammonite God, also called Moloch and/or Baal)
Nabu/Nebo	Isaiah 46:01	(Assyrian/Babylonian/Chaldean God)
Nibhaz	II Kings 17:31	(Avites God)
Nisroch	II Kings 19:37	(Assyrian God)
Ramman/Rimmon	II Kings 05:18	(Babylonian/Syrian storm God)
Succoth-benoth	II Kings 17:30	(Babylonian fertility Goddess)
Tammuz	Ezekial 8:14	(Assyrian/Babylonian God)
Tartak	II Kings 17:31	Avites God

If there is only "One True God" why were the ancients of ancient bible land worshipping just the "One"?

## **Satan or Moloch?**

There are lots of names for Moloch, the psychopathic god of the Bible. There are also lots of names for Satan. Moloch and Satan have various disguises and both are psychopathic and operate the same way (Behavior-Outcome-Benefit).

The two most popular names for the enemy of man is Satan and the Devil. When Satan is recognized as a god instead of as a demon or “fallen angel,” his dynamics and perception of power ownership instantly changes.

The Persona of Evil is called “Satan” in the Bible: (Job 1:6-12; Job 2:1-7; Zechariah 3:1-2; Matthew 4:10; Matthew 12:26; Matthew 16:23; Mark 1:13; Mark 3:23, 26; Mark 4:15; Mark 8:33; Luke 10:18; Luke 11:18; Luke 13:16; Luke 22:3, 31; John 13:27; Acts 5:3; Acts 26:18; Romans 16:20; 1 Corinthians 5:5; 1 Corinthians 7:5; 2 Corinthians 2:11; 2 Corinthians 11:14; 2 Corinthians 12:7; 1 Thessalonians 2:18; 2 Thessalonians 2:9; 1 Timothy 2:20; 1 Timothy 5:15; Revelation 2:9, 13, 24; Revelation 3:9; Revelation 12:9; Revelation 20:2, 7).

This same persona of evil is also called the “Devil” in the Bible: (Matthew 4:1, 5, 8, 11; Matthew 13:39; Matthew 25:41; Luke 4:2-3, 5, 9, 13; Luke 8:12; John 8:44; John 13:2; Acts 10:38; Acts 13:10; Ephesians 4:27; Ephesians 6:11; 1 Timothy 3:6-7; 2 Timothy 2:26; Hebrews 2:14; James 3:15; James 4:7; 1 Peter 5:8; 1 John 3:8, 10; Jude 9; Revelation 2:10; Revelation 12:9, 12; Revelation 20:2, 10).

The “Lord of Evil” also goes by other names. These are his "proper" names:

***Abaddon*** (Revelation 9:11)

***Apollyon*** (Revelation 9:11)

***Beelzebub*** (Matthew 10:25; Matthew 12:24, 27; Mark 3:22; Luke 11:15, 18-19)

***Belial*** (2 Corinthians 6:15)

***Leviathan*** (Isaiah 27:1)

In several cases, he is given the names of animals or creatures:

***The serpent*** (Genesis 3:1-2, 4, 13-14; 2 Corinthians 11:3; Revelation 12:9, 14-15)

***Ancient serpent*** (Revelation 12:9; Revelation 20:2)

***The coiling serpent*** (Isaiah 27:1)

***The gliding serpent*** (Isaiah 27:1)

***The dragon*** (Revelation 12:4, 7, 13, 16-17; Revelation 20:2)

***Enormous red dragon*** (Revelation 12:3)

***The great dragon*** (Revelation 12:9)

***The monster of the sea*** (Isaiah 27:1)

***The wolf*** (John 10:12)

At least two names identify him before his unforgiveable acts on the world:

***Morning star*** (Isaiah 14:12)

***Son of the dawn*** (Isaiah 14:12)

Some of his descriptive names give insight into his power:

***Angel of the Abyss*** (Revelation 9:11)

***The god of this age*** (2 Corinthians 4:4)

***The prince of demons*** (Matthew 9:34; Matthew 12:24; Mark 3:22; Luke 11:15)

***The prince of this world*** (John 12:31; John 14:30; John 16:11)

***The ruler of the kingdom of the air*** (Ephesians 2:2)

Other descriptive names give insight into his character (or lack thereof):

***The accuser of our brothers*** (Revelation 12:10)

***The enemy*** (Matthew 13:39; Luke 10:19; 1 Timothy 5:14)

***Your enemy*** (1 Peter 5:8)

***A liar*** (John 8:44)

***The father of lies*** (John 8:44)

***The lawless one*** (2 Thessalonians 2:8-9)

***Murderer*** (John 8:44)

***The tempter*** (Matthew 4:3; 1 Thessalonians 3:5)

***The thief*** (John 10:10)

There is one name which sums him up the best: ***The Evil One*** (Matthew 5:37; Matthew 6:13; Matthew 13:19, 38; John 17:15; Ephesians 6:16; 2 Thessalonians 3:3; 1 John 2:13-14; 1 John 3:12; 1 John 5:18-19)

When you look closely, there are no real difference between Moloch and Satan, except one is a god and one is an angel. This is because both own the same Behavior: psychopathy.

### **Baal is Also A Surname in the Bible**

The following quote is from “101 Myths of the Bible; How Ancient Scribes Invented Biblical History” by Gary Greenberg, pg. xii:

“...the Hebrews depicted in the Bible never embraced a pure monotheism, nor was there a single universal religion. Many important biblical characters in post-Exodus times, for instance, had names ending in “Baal,” who was a major Canaanite deity. Gideon, one of the most famous of early Judges, also was known as Jerub-baal, and Saul, first King of Israel, had a son named Esh-baal and this son succeeded him on the throne. These Baal names became an embarrassment to the final redactors of the early Bible books and they either added fictional glosses to the to explain the apparent inconsistency or they changed the Baal name to “Bosheth,” a Hebrew word meaning shame.”

### **“Lord” of the Bible**

Notice in the aforementioned list of names of “Other Gods” that Moloch or Ba’al are called “Lord.” The God of the Bible is also called “Lord.”

Many years ago, when I was a child about 11, I sat in a Southern Church and heard the pastor talk about the “Lord.” He said he had been having “problems” in understanding why God was called Lord when the ancient “pagans” that worshipped a god of death always called their God “Lord.” Intelligent and adult-like as a child, this got my attention! More, any sermon that was different than the rote, regular ones got always got my attention automatically.

I remember the sermon better than yesterday. The pastor went into his speech by showing us all the places in the bible where the other, “famous” god of the ancient world was always called “Lord.” He had a long list! Then he started comparing the god of the bible as “Lord.”

He said it caused him all kinds of spiritual doubting and stress. Then his wife suggested he contact another pastor and this pastor explained to him that God is also called “Lord” because back in those times, all “gods” were addressed as “Lord.” Simplistic and compact answer to cover and/or destroy a Giant Clue about which god is the god of the bible.

### **Why the Hysteria to “Obey the Lord”?**

The Book of Deuteronomy is the major place in the bible that creates hysteria. Deuteronomy 5:7 **COMMANDS:** *You shall have no other gods before. You shall not go after other gods...for the Lord your God in the midst of you is a jealous God (Deut 6:14-15).*

If you don't ACCEPT AND BLINDLY OBEY THIS “LORD,” He will Smite and Smote You. Worse, YOU WILL GO TO HELL. Christian Pastors will work in their sermons little gems like this, “If you THINK this World is BAD, IMAGINE A PLACE FAR WORSE...”

Spiritual, mental and psychological terrorism at it's finest! But there is another term for this: Forced Obedience.

### **Forced Obedience and Servitude**

W.E. Vine, in his "Expository Dictionary of New Testament Words" says, "The worship of God is nowhere defined in Scripture. A consideration of the verbs shows it is not confined to praise. Broadly it may be regarded as the direct acknowledgement of God, of His nature, attributes, way and claims, whether by the outgoing of the heart in praise and thanksgiving or by deed done in such acknowledgement."

For example, in Acts 17:24-25 the word used means "to do service to" or "*to serve.*"

The word "worship" comes from an Old English word, "weorthscipe" literally meaning "worth-ship" (that is, to give something or somebody worth). The god of the bible DEMANDS obedience to him, his laws and to his “regents” (priests, pastors, religious leaders, so forth).

The Greek word in the New Testament that is usually translated "worship" is "proskyneo" which is literally translated, "to come towards to kiss (the hand of)." It is used some 59 times in the New Testament. It is a sign of love, adoration, and respect towards the one being worshipped.

“Proof” of worshipping usually means some kind of forfeiture of self to the deity. This forfeiture can be piety, obeying every bible commandment including the hypocritical and violent ones, willingness to die for the deity, tithing, bequeathing, volunteering, evangelizing, truncating ambitions/dreams/desired lifestyle, so forth.

C. S. Lewis observed that the demands the god of the bible makes on people to worship him seemed to portray god as craving "for our worship like a vain woman who wants compliments." Compliments to a god are always flattery. Flattery resides in the world of lies. Psychopaths are liars.

A god who is “perfect” doesn't need flattery. Only a psychopathic god would demand flattery to feed their sick ego.

## **The God of the Bible is Moloch/Ba'al**

Thousands of years ago, scribes and others from corrupt priest classes gathered and transcribed the biblical texts for various reasons, including strengthening the priest classes (wealth and power), providing a “model” system (elite-male hierarchal dominator model) for control of the people (behavior modification), to terrorize people into believing/worshipping/tithing/sacrificing to the god of the bible, to sow a war-god world, to sow racism and misogyny, so forth.

If you sit down and cull out all the wise sayings (learned by many peoples of the world-not just ancients of the bible world), genealogies, “inspirational” prayers and love songs, all you have a Black Book of Murder and Human Sacrifice. If there ever was a book to justify rape, war, authoritarianism, elite patriarchies and their systems, destruction of cities and nations, desecration of the dead, torture, suffering, materialism, nationalism/racism (“chosen people syndrome”), self-sacrifice for the wrong reasons, so forth, *it is the bible*.

Followers of the bible have been taught that the bible is the “perfect word of God” and those persons behind it were “divinely inspired.” I’m a theologian and I’ve never found any “proof” of any “divine inspiration” behind the book, unless it is divine inspiration of a corrupt, ancient group of people uplifting an evil god. Why would someone do something like that? Follow the power, follow the wealth and understand the writers of the bible, the Judahites.

Like many other people living in the heart of ancient bible lands, the Judahites worshipped more than one god, including their favorite, Molech. Theological literature abounds with this fact and also another Big Fact, the Judahites sacrificed their first-born children to Molech.

When the Judahites put the bible together, they wanted to create a religious book or set of books that would unite them as a group and socially control this group. Fear and terror are proven tools to control people. A god of fear and terror makes people obey their religious and political leaders better than a god of love and justice.

The Judahites probably found it utilitarian to use montheism for their bible, than the polythesim they practiced. Why emphasize one “bigger,” “more powerful” one? Montheism is easier to explain and reinforce than polythesim. To explain one god is very complicated. To explain more than one god is incredibly complicated. More, if you’ve got one god, a “Supreme God,” running the show of life, people have to do what he says or what his agents on earth say. They can’t run down the street and obey the directives of another god who might not demand the same behavior, tithes, so forth.

Many of the religious leaders of the Judahites were probably psychopathic. Psychopaths are found in every field, including religion where power is the prize. It is obvious that the religious leaders of the Judahites greatly influenced the creation of the bible.

Again, Moloch was the Judahites’ favorite god. When people are faced with a Big Choice of Who You Pick as the “One” God you pick, the odds are that people will pick their favorite god.

Why was Molech the Judahites’ favorite god? Because they probably got more response or “blessings” from him. Moloch likes his “kiddie snacks.” Give him a nice snack, flatter him by

worshipping and praising him, feed him other human blood as well, obey his commands and he'll cut loose with "blessings."

It is very probable that the Judahites thought they would get "blessings" from Molech/Moloch if they made him the "Supreme God" in their literature.

Some Will Say that the God of the Bible Is a Composite of Many Ancient Gods

Many of the stories in the bible are composites of other ancient history/culture/worship/literature. But when you start to sift all the puzzle pieces and start putting them in piles before you logically put them in order, you immediately begin to SEE that there is very little composite. The god of the bible Behaves like Moloch.

### **Cruelty and Violence in the Bible**

I kill ... I wound ... I will make my arrows drunk with blood, and my sword shall devour flesh.  
Deuteronomy 32:39-42

There are two clichés in America about cruelty that always come to mind: It's a Cruel World and Goodbye, Cruel World. We live in a cruel world, with some parts (like America) more cruel than other parts. Could it be that men in power try to imitate the god of the bible? It would seem so. And since many of those in power are psychopaths, the bible would be to them a "Psychopathic Cookbook."

The god of the bible is a collective male super-ego. He is a conceited, petty, jealous, cruel, dominant, aggressive, violent, violence-loving, homicidal, psychopathic entity who loves only war, blind obedience, human suffering, human sacrifice, the destruction of women and his "enemies", and complete subservience/slavery of people to him and his directions/commands.

Somehow the patriarchal oppression of the god of the bible is supposed to make a better world and thus, this god expects people to adore and praise him for "his good works" when his works are of destruction and self-destruction, not construction.

You can find 859 biblical examples of cruelty and violence of the god of the bible at <http://skepticsannotatedbible.com/cruelty/long.html>

Richard Dawkins best summed up all believers in the Abrahamic god as, "a tribal cult of a single fiercely unpleasant God, morbidly obsessed with sexual restrictions, with the smell of **charred flesh**, with his own superiority over rival gods, and with the exclusiveness of his chosen desert tribe.

### **Bible God's Curses On Those Who Do Not Obey Him**

The god of the bible not only DEMANDS that people worship him, but DEMANDS that they BLINDLY OBEY him and his worldly "officers" (those running his monotheistic, patriarchal, abrahamic institutions). Deuteronomy is full of "consequences" to those that don't worship and OBEY the "Lord" and his "Official Representatives." Notice that it is the "Lord" God Himself the one who curses and the one who punishes!

These passages are from Deuteronomy, Chapter 28. Notice how often the god of the bible is called "Lord":

15 - "But if you will not obey the voice of the LORD your God or be careful to do all his commandments and his statutes which I command you this day, then **all these curses shall come upon you and overtake you.**

16 - Cursed shall you be in the city, and cursed shall you be in the field.

17 - Cursed shall be your basket and your kneading-trough.

18 - Cursed shall be the fruit of your body, and the fruit of your ground, the increase of your cattle, and the young of your flock.

19 - Cursed shall you be when you come in, and cursed shall you be when you go out.

20 - "The LORD will send upon you curses, confusion, and frustration, in all that you undertake to do, until you are destroyed and perish quickly, on account of the evil of your doings, because you have forsaken me.

21 - The LORD will make the pestilence cleave to you until he has consumed you off the land which you are entering to take possession of it.

22 - The LORD will smite you with consumption, and with fever, inflammation, and fiery heat, and with drought, and with blasting, and with mildew; they shall pursue you until you perish.

25 - The LORD will cause you to be defeated before your enemies; you shall go out one way against them, and flee seven ways before them; and you shall be a horror to all the kingdoms of the earth.

27 - The LORD will smite you with the boils of Egypt, and with the ulcers and the scurvy and the itch, of which you cannot be healed.

28 - The LORD will smite you with madness and blindness and confusion of mind;

29 - ... and you shall not prosper in your ways; and you shall be only oppressed and robbed continually, and there shall be no one to help you... (Deut.28:15-29)

This "tone" and similar threats continues until verse 69.

Now comes the New Testament and some Christians' interpretations of it. Some Christian sects empathically believe that if a person does not accept Jesus Christ as their "personal savior," that person will perish in the afterlife to an eternal hell of suffering, lament and flames.

### **The God of the Bible Approves of Slavery and Permits It**

Slavery was established by decree of Almighty God. It is sanctioned in the Bible, in both Testaments, from Genesis to Revelation - - Jefferson Davis

Murder and slavery are almost the same thing. Murder kills the body. Slavery wounds the Spirit and many times those wounds slay the Spirit.

When you "own" or contain the body, the Soul comes with it, thus it is owned intangibly. When someone owns your body, the owner determines your time, your thoughts, your beliefs, your education, your decisions, your labor, your body movements, your morality, your virtues, your philosophic development, your dreaming, your sex life and usually your spirituality. In ancient times, slaves usually worshipped the gods of the master. This occurs in modern slavery as well.

Slave life is a joyless, monotonous world of hard labor, with no security. Slaves live a life of fear and insecurity because they always can be sold anytime to another slave master, worked to death, beaten and raped. Slaves suffer inferior living standards including poor food, inferior clothing and poor shelter (even if you get to sleep at the master's feet).

Slavery breeds resentment, contempt, racism and hatred, for all, plus reaps rape and premature death (for slaves).

The subject of slavery is rampant throughout the Bible in both the Old and New Testaments. The Bible clearly approves of slavery in many passages and it even instructs how to obtain slaves, how hard you can beat them and when you can have sex with the female slaves.

Although some "slaves" make it out of bondage (Joseph, Moses, the Israelites out of Egypt, so forth), the majority of the slaves in ancient times stayed slaves their whole lifetime.

Many Jews and Christians will try to avoid or ignore the moral problems of slavery (must stay comfortable!) by saying that these slaves were actually servants or indentured servants. Many translations of the Bible use the word "servant", "bondservant" or "manservant" instead of slave to make the Bible seem less immoral than it really is. Then there are the concubines (a form of sex slavery) and the "handmaids" (most are slaves, too).

Like early American slavery, slaves in ancient times provided a variety of labor. Some were conscripted as soldiers or gladiators. Some worked in the fields, some worked for merchants and some worked in the owner's house/s. While many slaves may have worked as household servants, that doesn't mean that they were treated well because they were considered property. Because that is what slavery is: Turning human beings into property. Slaves were bought and sold as livestock, and many times, treated worse than livestock.

Ironically and tragically, modern capitalism's "law of value" also treats human beings as property by giving a monetary value to them and their perceived potential. Insurance actuary tables attest to this as well as jury awards. Juries usually always award more money for the unlawful death or injury of a white male in his prime age than for a woman, child and/or minority.

The bible constantly instructs slaves to obey their masters. Titus 2:9-10 slaves are ordered to, "Be submissive to your master and give satisfaction in every respect." Ephesians 6:5 and Colossians 3:22 instruct, "Slaves obey your master."

Paul, the earliest Christian evangelist and a contemporary of Jesus, expresses his unqualified support of the institution of human slavery by instructing slaves to obey their masters in several passages: Ephesians 6:5, I Timothy 6:1 and again in Titus 2:9-10.

Peter, Jesus' "favorite disciple," directs slaves to obey and fear their master without question, even though he may be cruel and unjust (1 Peter 2:18).

This is probably the most-used bible quote that representatives of Christianity use to justify obedience of slaves, but also *to make everyone slaves to Christ*: "Slaves, obey your earthly masters with fear and trembling, with a sincere heart, as you would Christ, not by the way of eye-service, as people-pleasers, but as slaves of Christ, doing the will of God from the heart." (Ephesians 6:5-6)

The bible allows beating slaves brutally and it allows the murder of them by beating if they live longer than two days before they die: “When a man strikes his male or female slave with a rod so hard that the slave dies under his hand, he shall be punished. If, however, the slave survives for a day or two, he is not to be punished, since the slave is his own property.” (Exodus 21:20-21 NAB)

*The above bible passage proves that bible view slaves as property.*

In bible days, a man could take (as “spoils of war”) or buy as many sex slaves as he wants as long as he feeds them, clothes them and has intercourse with them.

In Deuteronomy 20:13-14, God tells the Israelites what they should do with the inhabitants of a conquered city, "Whereas the men must be killed, the women and children are to be taken as the spoils of war." Presumably this gives the Israelites the green light to sell them into slavery because that's what conquering armies did in those times.

As for owning women for sex, the bible says, “When a man sells his daughter as a slave, she will not be freed at the end of six years as the men are. If she does not please the man who bought her, he may allow her to be bought back again. But he is not allowed to sell her to foreigners, since he is the one who broke the contract with her. And if the slave girl's owner arranges for her to marry his son, he may no longer treat her as a slave girl, but he must treat her as his daughter. If he himself marries her and then takes another wife, he may not reduce her food or clothing or fail to sleep with her as his wife. If he fails in any of these three ways, she may leave as a free woman without making any payment.” (Exodus 21:7-11 NLT)

As the above biblical passage states, female slaves are treated differently than male slaves. Male slaves can be released from bondage after six years, but female slaves are not allowed this.

The bible instructs male dominance over women right at the beginning, in the Book of Genesis. In Genesis 3:16, God decrees that as a result of the “fall,” the wife will henceforth be ruled over by her husband. This henceforth regulates women to the status of a slave.

This second-class status for women is reinforced throughout the Bible with exception to Ephesians 5:25 where husbands are told to love their wives. This is another bible contradiction that has remained unresolved throughout two millennia.

### **A Hell of Rape**

A magician once described “hell” to me as “having no other alternatives.” Since then, I’ve revised her description. Hell, to me, is “having poor, few and/or other alternatives.”

Hell can be described as many things and experiences, but I will never forget the graphic descriptions of hell I heard from the many pastors I’ve listened to. Most of the preaching was about eternal suffering, eternal torture to the delight of the demons inflicting it, being thirsty and wishing for water and not getting it (or a drop to add to the torment), being poked with pitchforks, being burned alive over and over and over forever, so forth.

In many Christian sermons and writing, rape is either named or hinted at as another

“punishment” in hell. A recovering Christian, I remember many sermons I sat through as a child and an adult where the pastor threatened females with “rape in hell” if we sinned in this life. First, this ugly, dark, impossible underworld would be described by pastors and some then of the other “punishments” described in graphic detail. Then came the rape threat and although it wasn’t described as graphically as the “other punishments” everyone got the same, sick, erotic, violent picture: Red-skinned demons with horns and bull-size penises and testicles standing in line to gang-rape a new female that’s been sent to hell by god. After threatening “rape in hell” for a while, the pastors would segue into sermons about how women should “know their place” in god’s kingdom, be chaste, obey their male authority figures including husbands and fathers, sacrifice their lives for their marriages and family, so forth. In other words, pastors were giving out the message that women must be servants to males and their institutions in this world so they won’t be gang-raped by demons in the next world.

### **The Book of Blind Obedience to Male Hierarchal Authority is Also A Book of Rape**

The subjugation, imposition-as-property and rape of women abound in the bible. There are hundreds of millions of people who believe the bible literally, including its messages that it is okay to rape women because god-sanctioned rape is in the bible.

#### **1) Murder, rape, and pillage at Jabesh-gilead (Judges 21:10-24 NLT)**

There is no doubt these women were raped repeatedly.

*“So they sent twelve thousand warriors to Jabesh-gilead with orders to kill everyone there, including women and children. "This is what you are to do," they said. "Completely destroy all the males and every woman who is not a virgin." Among the residents of Jabesh-gilead they found four hundred young virgins who had never slept with a man, and they brought them to the camp at Shiloh in the land of Canaan.*

*The Israelite assembly sent a peace delegation to the little remnant of Benjamin who were living at the rock of Rimmon. Then the men of Benjamin returned to their homes, and the four hundred women of Jabesh-gilead who were spared were given to them as wives. But there were not enough women for all of them. The people felt sorry for Benjamin because the LORD had left this gap in the tribes of Israel. So the Israelite leaders asked, "How can we find wives for the few who remain, since all the women of the tribe of Benjamin are dead? There must be heirs for the survivors so that an entire tribe of Israel will not be lost forever. But we cannot give them our own daughters in marriage because we have sworn with a solemn oath that anyone who does this will fall under God's curse."*

*Then they thought of the annual festival of the LORD held in Shiloh, between Lebonah and Bethel, along the east side of the road that goes from Bethel to Shechem. They told the men of Benjamin who still needed wives, "Go and hide in the vineyards. When the women of Shiloh come out for their dances, rush out from the vineyards, and each of you can take one of them home to be your wife! And when their fathers and brothers come to us in protest, we will tell them, 'Please be understanding. Let them have your daughters, for we didn't find enough wives for them when we destroyed Jabesh-gilead. And you are not guilty of breaking the vow since you did not give your daughters in marriage to them.'" So the men of Benjamin did as they were told. They kidnapped the women who took part in the celebration and carried them off to the land of their own inheritance. Then they rebuilt their towns and lived in them. So the assembly of Israel departed by tribes and families, and they returned to their own homes.*

## **2) Murder, rape and pillage of the Midianites (Numbers 31:7-18 NLT)**

Only the virgins can live. Why? For sexual and property purposes.

*They attacked Midian just as the LORD had commanded Moses, and they killed all the men. All five of the Midianite kings – Evi, Rekem, Zur, Hur, and Reba – died in the battle. They also killed Balaam son of Beor with the sword. Then the Israelite army captured the Midianite women and children and seized their cattle and flocks and all their wealth as plunder. They burned all the towns and villages where the Midianites had lived. After they had gathered the plunder and captives, both people and animals, they brought them all to Moses and Eleazar the priest, and to the whole community of Israel, which was camped on the plains of Moab beside the Jordan River, across from Jericho.*

*Moses, Eleazar the priest, and all the leaders of the people went to meet them outside the camp. But Moses was furious with all the military commanders who had returned from the battle. "Why have you let all the women live?" he demanded. "These are the very ones who followed Balaam's advice and caused the people of Israel to rebel against the LORD at Mount Peor. They are the ones who caused the plague to strike the LORD's people. Now kill all the boys and all the women who have slept with a man. Only the young girls who are virgins may live; you may keep them for yourselves.*

## **3) More Murder Rape and Pillage (Deuteronomy 20:10-14)**

The god of the bible orders murder, rape, plunder (materialism) and slavery.

*As you approach a town to attack it, first offer its people terms for peace. If they accept your terms and open the gates to you, then all the people inside will serve you in forced labor. But if they refuse to make peace and prepare to fight, you must attack the town. When the LORD your God hands it over to you, kill every man in the town. But you may keep for yourselves all the women, children, livestock, and other plunder. You may enjoy the spoils of your enemies that the LORD your God has given you.*

## **4) Laws of Rape (Deuteronomy 22:28-29 NLT)**

The god of the bible orders rape victims to marry their attackers. Only a psychopathic god would order that.

*If a man is caught in the act of raping a young woman who is not engaged, he must pay fifty pieces of silver to her father. Then he must marry the young woman because he violated her, and he will never be allowed to divorce her.*

## **5) Death to the Rape Victims (Deuteronomy 22:23-24 NAB)**

Female rape victims are always blamed for their rapes and punished for it. Where is the Justice in this? The god of the bible is only concerned about the violation of another man's property. This is psychopathy.

*If within the city a man comes upon a maiden who is betrothed, and has relations with her, you shall bring them both out of the gate of the city and there stone them to death: the girl because she did not cry out for help though she was in the city, and the man because he violated his neighbors wife.*

## **6) David's Punishment - Adultery, Rape, Infanticide, and God's Hard "Forgiveness" (2**

## Samuel 12:11-14 NAB)

*Thus says the Lord: 'I will bring evil upon you out of your own house. I will take your wives [plural] while you live to see it, and will give them to your neighbor. He shall lie with your wives in broad daylight. You have done this deed in secret, but I will bring it about in the presence of all Israel, and with the sun looking down.'*

*Then David said to Nathan, "I have sinned against the Lord." Nathan answered David: "The Lord on his part has forgiven your sin: you shall not die. But since you have utterly spurned the Lord by this deed, the child born to you must surely die." [The child dies seven days later.]*

### **7) Rape of Female Captives (Deuteronomy 21:10-14 NAB)**

Proves the god of the bible's approval of forcible rape.

*"When you go out to war against your enemies and the LORD, your God, delivers them into your hand, so that you take captives, if you see a comely woman among the captives and become so enamored of her that you wish to have her as wife, you may take her home to your house. But before she may live there, she must shave her head and pare her nails and lay aside her captive's garb. After she has mourned her father and mother for a full month, you may have relations with her, and you shall be her husband and she shall be your wife. However, if later on you lose your liking for her, you shall give her her freedom, if she wishes it; but you shall not sell her or enslave her, since she was married to you under compulsion."*

### **8) Rape and the Spoils of War (Judges 5:30 NAB)**

Again proves the god of the bible's approval of forcible rape.

*They must be dividing the spoils they took: there must be a damsel or two for each man, Spoils of dyed cloth as Sisera's spoil, an ornate shawl or two for me in the spoil. (Judges 5:30 NAB)*

### **9) Sex Slaves (Exodus 21:7-11 NLT)**

The god of the bible has no problem with turning women into sex slaves.

*When a man sells his daughter as a slave, she will not be freed at the end of six years as the men are. If she does not please the man who bought her, he may allow her to be bought back again. But he is not allowed to sell her to foreigners, since he is the one who broke the contract with her. And if the slave girl's owner arranges for her to marry his son, he may no longer treat her as a slave girl, but he must treat her as his daughter. If he himself marries her and then takes another wife, he may not reduce her food or clothing or fail to sleep with her as his wife. If he fails in any of these three ways, she may leave as a free woman without making any payment.*

### **10) God Assists Rape and Plunder (Zechariah 14:1-2 NAB)**

*Lo, a day shall come for the Lord when the spoils shall be divided in your midst. And I will gather all the nations against Jerusalem for battle: the city shall be taken, houses plundered, **women ravished**; half of the city shall go into exile, but the rest of the people shall not be removed from the city.*

### **Incest, the Most Diabolical of All Rapes**

In the book of Genesis, Cain and Abel marry their sisters. Their children marry their brothers and their sisters.

"...Absalom the son of David had a fair sister, whose name was Tamar, and Amnon the son of David loved her. And Amnon was so vexed, that he fell sick for his sister Tamar, for she was a virgin, and Amnon thought it hard for him to do any thing to her...and when [his sister] had brought them unto him to eat, he took hold of her, and said unto her, Come lie with me, my sister. And she answered him, Nay, my brother, do not force me....howbeit he would not hearken unto her voice, but being stronger than she, forced her, and lay with her." (II Samuel I 3:1,14)

"Behold now, I have two daughters which have not known man; let me, I pray you, bring them out unto you, and do ye unto them as is good in your eyes, only unto these men do nothing." (Lot speaking to an angry mob, Genesis 19:8)

"Come let us make our father drink wine, and we will lie with him, that we may preserve seed of our father. And they made their father drink wine that night; and the firstborn went in and lay with her father, and he perceived not when she lay down, nor when she arose. And it came to pass on the morrow, that the firstborn said unto the younger, Behold, I lay yesternight with my father, let us make him drink wine this night also, and go thou in, and lie with him, that we may preserve seed of our father. And they made their father drink wine that night also, and the younger arose, and lay with him, and he perceived not when she lay down, nor when she arose. Thus were both the daughters of Lot with child by their father." (Genesis 19:31, 36)

I would like to make a comment about Lot's daughters who purportedly seduced him. Back in the bible days, it was a man's world and this bible story sounds like a man's version of incestuous events. In fact, it sounds like a modern man's excuse for rape ("she seduced me...").

If this event really happened, I bet that Lot's daughters were raped instead of seducing their father Lot to make them pregnant.

### **God As Rapist**

From the Gnostic fragment, *Cain and Abel*:

"And the first ruler saw the female virgin standing with Adam, and saw that the living, luminous afterthought had been shown forth within her. And Aldabaoth became filled with lack of acquaintance. Now, the forethought of the entirety learned of this, and sent certain beings, who caught life (Zoë) out of Eve. And the first ruler defiled her, and begot on her two sons—the first and the second, Eloim and Iaue. Eloim has the face of a bear; Iaue, the face of a cat. One is just, the other is unjust: Iaue is just, Eloim is unjust. It established Iaue in charge of fire and wind, and established Eloim in charge of water and earth. And it called them by the names Cain and Abel, with trickery in mind. . . . "And to the present day sexual intercourse, which originated from the first ruler, has remained. And in the female who belonged to Adam it sowed a seed of desire; and by sexual intercourse it raised up birth in the image of the bodies. And it supplied them some of its counterfeit spirit. And it established the two rulers in charge of realms, so that they ruled over the cave."

In the Bible, Eve had help from "*the Lord*" with her first two sons, Cain and Abel. "...and she

bore Cain saying. "I have gotten a man with the help of the Lord. And again, she bore his brother Abel..." (Genesis 4:1)

Adam finally becomes a father with the third son, "When Adam had lived a hundred and thirty years, he became the father of a son in his own likeness, after his image, and named him Seth." (Genesis 5:3)

If the above bible stories are true, what kind of god would have sex with women and make them pregnant? A psychopathic one.

### **Sexual Mutilation of the Dead**

"And Saul said, Thus shall ye say to David, the king desireth not any dowry, but a hundred foreskins of the Philistines, to be avenged of the king's enemies....wherefore David arose and went, he and his men, and slew of the Philistines two hundred men, and David brought their foreskins, and they gave them in full tale to the king, that he might be the king's son-in-law." (Samuel 18:25, 27)

### **Infanticide and Abortion**

There are stories of infanticide and abortion in the bible:

"Their children also shall be dashed to pieces before their eyes; their houses shall be spoiled, and their wives ravished." (Isaiah 13:16)

"Slay utterly old and young, both maids and little children, and women..." (Ezekiel 9:6)

"O daughter of Babylon, who art to be destroyed, happy shall he be, that rewardeth thee as thou hast served us. Happy shall he be, that taketh and dasheth thy little ones against the stones." (Psalms 137:8,9)

"Thus saith the Lord; For three transgressions of the children of Ammon, and for four, I will not turn away the punishment thereof, because they have ripped up the women with child of Gilead, that they might enlarge their border." (Amos 1:13)

"Then Menahem smote Tiphsah, and all that were therein, and the coasts thereof from Tirzah; because they opened not to him, therefore he smote it, and all the women therein that were with child he ripped up." (I Kings 15:16)

## **Human Sacrifice**



Like other parts of the ancient world, human sacrifice was rife. Really, it was a way of life. Up until the time of Jesus, there were still some Jews who practiced sacrificing their first-born to Moloch/Molech/Ba'al. It was in the culture and beliefs at the time.

This is from Chapter XVII from "The God Idea of the ancients or Sex in Religion" (Eliza Burt Gamble) <http://www.fullbooks.com/The-God-Idea-of-the-Ancients-or-Sex-in5.html>

"As in all hot countries the heat of the sun is the most destructive agency against which mankind have to contend, it is not perhaps singular, at a time when superstition had usurped the functions of the reasoning powers, that the sun-god should have been invested with the attributes inspired by terror, and that so far as possible, mankind should have deemed it necessary to propitiate its wrath, and, by rendering to it suitable offerings and sacrifices, they

should have hoped to avert the calamities incident to its displeasure. Neither is it remarkable when we remember the peculiar circumstances surrounding the Jews, and the fact that the offerings demanded by their god was the life which he had bestowed, that the sacrifices offered to **Moloch**, the fire god, should have been the members of their own household--namely, their children.

We must not forget that the reward promised this people by prophet, priest, and diviner for godliness was extreme fruitfulness of body. We have seen that to obtain this mark of godly favor, or, under pretense of serving their god, the form of worship prescribed by their priests, and adopted both in their households and in their temples was pre-eminently sensual, and calculated to stimulate and encourage to the highest extent their lower or animal nature.

As the size of a man's family, or his power to reproduce, was an index to his favor with the Almighty the pleasure of the "Lord" in this matter being but the reflection of his own desires, the result as might reasonably be expected was overpopulation to such an extent that the means of subsistence within the small boundary of Judea was inadequate to supply the demands of the swarming masses of "God's children"--children which had been created for his honor and glory. Surely some plan must be devised whereby these difficulties might be adjusted, and that, too, to use a modern expression, without flying in the face of Providence. As the Lord had been honored and man blessed in the mere bringing forth of offspring, what better scheme, so soon as such blessings became too numerous, than to return a certain number of them to the giver, the god of Moloch? It is true that by this process children were born only to be delivered over to the ravages of the fire-god, but by it, was not their deity both served and appeased at the same time that population was kept within the bounds of subsistence? That great numbers were thus sacrificed is only too apparent from the accounts in the Jewish scriptures--Abraham's acts and those of Jephtha being examples of the manner in which this god was propitiated.

In Micah, vi. chap., 7th verse, occurs an interrogation which furnishes something more than a hint of the practice among the Jews of child sacrifice. "Shall I give my first born for my transgressions, the fruit of my body for the sin of my soul?"

Although there is sufficient evidence to prove the enormous extent to which the practice of child sacrifice prevailed among the Jews, it is believed that much more proof would be found, had it not, in later times, with a view to concealing the extent of this practice, been expunged from their sacred writings. Moloch was to the Jews what Siva came to be to the Hindoos, namely, the  
Terrible..."

..." the immolation of victims became the most prominent feature of their public worship. We are distinctly told, however, that human sacrifice was not formerly practiced in Mexico, but that finally here as elsewhere, the idea became prevalent that by sacrificing human victims to the god of Destruction, his wrath might be appeased and the people saved from his vengeance. It is stated that human sacrifices were adopted by the Aztecs early in the fourteenth century, about two hundred years before the conquest. "Rare at first, they became more frequent with the wider extent of their empire; till, at length, almost every festival was closed with this cruel abomination."

"Notwithstanding these atrocities, in their conceptions of a future state of existence, and especially in their disposition of the unregenerate after death, are to be observed certain traces of human feeling and refined sensibility which are difficult to reconcile with the cruelty

practiced in their religious rites, and which bear a striking contrast to the physical torture, to which after death the wicked are subjected not only in Mexico, but in countries professing a high stage of civilization and culture.”

### **Blood Temples**

Most people are unaware of how bloody the bible really is. People are unaware of this because of the propagandic religious teachings that the “Israelites” were “the chosen people,” thus everything they represented was somehow sacred/holy/godly.

Hebrew religious practices were not that distinct from the surrounding cultures. There is sufficient evidence to prove that the Hebrews regularly practiced human sacrifice. It’s in the bible.

In reality, the ancient Israelites walked and worked “both sides” of “Temple Street,” to guarantee them health and prosperity from the many gods. They were never monotheists but worshipped many gods, including Moloch/Molech/Ba’al/wanchemu/so forth. In fact, Solomon built a temple for Moloch: "Then did Solomon build an high place for Chemosh, the abomination of Moab, in the hill that is before Jerusalem, and for Molech, the abomination of the children of Ammon." (1 Kings 11:7)

A tremendous portion of the Old Testament is a chronicle of genocide, regicide (the sacrifice of the sacred king) and animal/human sacrifice. Bloodlust, including a blood-lusting god, constitutes a significant portion of the Bible and Judaism. Blood ritual is fundamental to Judaism and its related Abrahamic religions. Some blood sanctifies while some blood defiles.

This endless need for the god to be propitiated by blood is also reflected in the New Testament Epistle to the Hebrews: "Indeed, under law almost everything is purified with blood, and without the shedding of blood there is no forgiveness of sins." (Heb. 9:22)

Moloch is defined as "the practice of human sacrifice." Notice how closely “Moloch” sounds to the name of the god of destruction, Moloch.

Moloch is the name or title of the deity which the men of Judah in the last ages of the kingdom were in the habit of sacrificing their own children to. It should be noted here that the scribes of Judah are basically the ones who wrote/transcribed the books of the bible..

Moloch was represented by a brazen statue, which was hollow and capable of being heated, and formed with a bull's head, and arms stretched out to receive the children to be sacrificed. From the time of Ahaz, children were slain at Jerusalem in the valley of Ben-Hinnom, and then sacrificed by being laid in the heated arms and burned.

The 1908 *Catholic Encyclopedia* contains a very interesting entry on Moloch and human sacrifice. The *Catholic Encyclopedia* states that the children were burned "after the victims had been put to death" (without citing any authority). The entry says,

“The chief feature of Moloch's worship among the Jews seems to have been the sacrifice of children, and the usual expression for describing that sacrifice was "to pass through the fire", a

rite carried out after the victims had been put to death.

The special center of such atrocities was just outside of Jerusalem, at a place called Tophet (probably "place of abomination"), in the valley of Geennom. According to III (I) Kings, xi, 7, Solomon erected "a temple" for Moloch "on the hill over against Jerusalem", and on this account he is at times considered as the monarch who introduced the impious cult into Israel. After the disruption, traces of Moloch worship appear in both Juda and Israel.

The custom of causing one's children to pass through the fire seems to have been general in the Northern Kingdom [IV (II) Kings, xvii, 17; Ezech. xxiii, 37], and it gradually grew in the Southern, encouraged by the royal example of Achaz (IV Kings, xvi, 3) and Manasses [IV (II) Kings, xvi, 6] till it became prevalent in the time of the prophet Jeremias (Jerem. xxxii, 35), when King Josias suppressed the worship of Moloch and defiled Tophet [IV (II) Kings, xxiii, 13 (10)]. It is not improbable that this worship was revived under Joakim and continued until the Babylonian Captivity ...

... Of late, numerous attempts have been made to prove that in sacrificing their children to Moloch the Israelites simply thought that they were offering them in holocaust to Yahweh. In other words, the Melech to whom child-sacrifices were offered was Yahweh under another name. To uphold this view appeal is made in particular to Jer., vii, 31; xix, 5, and to Ezech., xx, 25-31. But this position is to say the least improbable. The texts appealed to may well be understood otherwise, and the prophets expressly treat the cult of Moloch as foreign and as an apostasy from the worship of the true God. The offerings by fire, the probable identity of Moloch with Baal, and the fact that in Assyria and Babylonia Malik, and at Palmyra Malach-bel, were sun-gods, have suggested to many that Moloch was a fire- or sun-god."

Many ancient Canaanite religions residing in ancient Israel practiced child sacrifice. The rites of both Chemosh of the Moabites and Molech of the Ammonites appear to have called for sacrifices (children are called "seed") by "passing through the fire." This is generally understood to mean sacrifice by burning the victim to death. But there are some accounts that the child was killed before they were burned. In the end, it doesn't matter. Human sacrifice is human sacrifice.

Most theological interpretations of Hebrew human sacrifice excuse this evil by weakly arguing that the Israelites became enamored with foreign gods and adopted their rites or human sacrifice was a result, or it was the result of internal priestly disagreements related to the proper worship of the Hebrew God himself.

In the typical, hypocritical fashion, the "Lord" of the bible is against human sacrifice:

Leviticus 18:21

And thou shalt not let any of thy seed pass through the fire to Molech, neither shalt thou profane the name of thy God: I am the LORD.

Leviticus 20:2

Again, thou shalt say to the children of Israel, Whosoever he be of the children of Israel, or of the strangers that sojourn in Israel, that giveth any of his seed unto Molech; he shall surely be put to death: the people of the land shall stone him with stones.

Deuteronomy 18:10

There shall not be found among you any one that maketh his son or his daughter to pass through the fire....

2 Kings 21:6

He made his son pass through the fire, and ... wrought much wickedness in the sight of the LORD.

Then the “Lord” God flip-flops in favor of human sacrifice:

Genesis 22:2

And he said, Take now thy son, thine only son Isaac, whom thou lovest, and get thee into the land of Moriah; and offer him there for a burnt offering upon one of the mountains which I will tell thee of.

Exodus 22:29

Thou shalt not delay to offer the first of thy ripe fruits, and of thy liquors: the firstborn of thy sons shalt thou give unto me.

Leviticus 27:28-29

No devoted thing, that a man shall devote unto the LORD of all that he hath, both of man and beast ... shall be sold or redeemed: every devoted thing is most holy unto the LORD. None devoted, which shall be devoted of men, shall be redeemed; but shall surely be put to death.

Numbers 31:25-29

And the LORD spake unto Moses, saying, Take the sum of the prey that was taken, both of man and of beast, thou, and Eleazar the priest, and the chief fathers of the congregation: And divide the prey into two parts; between them that took the war upon them, who went out to battle, and between all the congregation: And levy a tribute unto the Lord of the men of war which went out to battle: one soul of five hundred, both of the persons, and of the beeves, and of the asses, and of the sheep: Take it of their half, and give it unto Eleazar the priest, for an heave offering of the LORD.

Judges 11:29-40

Then the Spirit of the LORD came upon Jephthah.... And Jephthah vowed a vow unto the LORD, and said, If thou shalt without fail deliver the children of Ammon into mine hands, Then it shall be, that whatsoever cometh forth of the doors of my house to meet me, when I return in peace from the children of Ammon, shall surely be the LORD's, and I will offer it up for a burnt offering. So Jephthah passed over unto the children of Ammon to fight against them; and the LORD delivered them into his hands.... And Jephthah came to Mizpeh unto his house, and, behold, his daughter came out to meet him with timbrels and with dances: and she was his only child.... And it came to pass, when he saw her, that he rent his clothes, and said, Alas, my daughter! thou hast brought me very low, and thou art one of them that trouble me: for I have opened my mouth unto the LORD, and I cannot go back. And she said unto him, My father, if thou hast opened thy mouth unto the LORD, do to me according to that which hath proceeded out of thy mouth.... And it came to pass at the end of two months, that she returned unto her father, who did with her according to his vow which he had vowed.

2 Samuel 21:1, 8-9, 14

Then there was a famine in the days of David three years, year after year; and David enquired of the LORD. And the LORD answered, It is for Saul, and for his bloody house, because he

slew the Gibeonites.... The king took the two sons of Rizpah the daughter of Aiah, whom she bare unto Saul, Armoni and Mephibosheth; and the five sons of Michal the daughter of Saul ... And he delivered them into the hands of the Gibeonites, and they hanged them in the hill before the LORD....And after that God was intreated for the land.

1 Kings 13:2

And he cried against the altar in the word of the LORD, and said, O altar, altar, thus saith the LORD; Behold, a child shall be born unto the house of David, Josiah by name; and upon thee shall he offer the priests of the high places that burn incense upon thee, and men's bones shall be burnt upon thee.

2 Kings 23:20

And he slew all the priests of the high places that were there upon the altars, and burned men's bones upon them.

Now, there are more citations of human sacrifice in the bible:

2 Kings 16:3

He (Ahaz) walked in the ways of the kings of Israel and even sacrificed his son in the fire, following the detestable ways of the nations the LORD had driven out before the Israelites.

2 Kings 17:17

They (the Israelites) sacrificed their sons and daughters in the fire.

Exodus 13:2

Consecrate to me every firstborn male. The first offspring of every womb among the Israelites belongs to me, whether man or animal.

Exodus 13:14

In days to come, when your son asks you, 'What does this mean?' say to him, 'With a mighty hand the LORD brought us out of Egypt, out of the land of slavery. 15 When Pharaoh stubbornly refused to let us go, the LORD killed every firstborn in Egypt, both man and animal. This is why I sacrifice to the LORD the first male offspring of every womb and redeem each of my firstborn sons.'

Ezekiel 20:25

I also gave them (the Israelites) over to statutes that were not good and laws they could not live by; 26 I let them become defiled through their gifts—the sacrifice of every firstborn—that I might fill them with horror so they would know that I am the LORD.'

Historically, child sacrifice in Canaan seems to have continued in some places until the Romans took measures to stamp it out for once and for all in the 1st century (CE)! Millions of children were sacrificed to Moloch/Molech/Ba'al/so forth over the millennia.

### **More Cruelty and Violence in the Bible: Cannibalism**

Not only does the god of the bible like war, murder, rape and human sacrifice, but it likes cannibalism. Many theologians will say these passages are about the cannibalism the ancient jews did when Jerusalem was under siege. Other theologians will say that these passages are written in some kind of "hidden" language or poetry of the god of the bible. You decide:

"I also will do this unto you... You shall sow your seed in vain, for your enemies shall eat it. (Leviticus 26:14)

"And ye shall eat the flesh of your sons, and the flesh of your daughters shall ye eat." (Leviticus 26:29)

"And thou shalt eat the fruit of thine own body, the flesh of thy sons and of thy daughters." (Deuteronomy 28:53)

"And toward her young one that cometh out from between her feet, and toward her children which she shall bear: for she shall eat them." (Deuteronomy 28:57)

"This woman said unto me, Give thy son, that we may eat him to day, and we will eat my son to morrow. So we boiled my son, and did eat him: and I said unto her on the next day, Give thy son, that we may eat him." (2 Kings 6:28-29)

"Through the wrath of the LORD of hosts is the land darkened, and the people shall be as the fuel of the fire: no man shall spare his brother. And he shall snatch on the right hand, and be hungry; and he shall eat on the left hand, and they shall not be satisfied: they shall eat every man the flesh of his own arm." (Isaiah 9:19-20)

"And I will feed them that oppress thee with their own flesh; and they shall be drunken with their own blood, as with sweet wine." (Isaiah 49:26)

"And I will cause them to eat the flesh of their sons and the flesh of their daughters, and they shall eat every one the flesh of his friend." (Jeremiah 19:9)

"The hands of the pitiful women have sodden their own children: they were their meat." (Lamentations 4:10)

"Therefore the fathers shall eat the sons in the midst of thee, and the sons shall eat their fathers." (Ezekiel 5:10)

(Micah 3:2) "Who hate the good, and love the evil; who pluck off their skin from off them, and their flesh from off their bones; (Micah 3:3) Who also eat the flesh of my people, and flay their skin from off them; and they break their bones, and chop them in pieces, as for the pot, and as flesh within the caldron."

"I will not feed you: that that dieth, let it die; and that that is to be cut off, let it be cut off; and let the rest eat every one the flesh of another." (Zechariah 11:9)

### **Eating Shit**

The god of the bible is a god who will make you eat shit: "And thou shalt eat it as barley cakes, and thou shalt bake it with dung that cometh out of man, in their sight. And the LORD said, Even thus shall the children of Israel eat their defiled bread among the Gentiles, whither I will drive them." (Ezekiel 4:12-13)

## **Besides Always Being Pissed Off, The God of the Bible Mentions Piss, Too**

Bible god says, "therefore, behold, I will bring evil upon the house of Jerobo'am, and will cut off from Jerobo'am him that pisseth against the wall..." (I Kings 14:10)

Here is another piss quote: "And it came to pass, when he began to reign, as soon as he sat on his throne, that he slew all the house of Baasha: he left him not one that pisseth against a wall, neither of his kinsfolks, nor of his friends." (I Kings 16:11)

## **Eating Shit and Drinking Piss**

The bible doth says, "But Rabshakeh said unto them, Hath my master sent me to thy master, and to thee, to speak these words? hath he not sent me to the men which sit on the wall, that they may eat their own dung, and drink their own piss with you?" (II Kings 18:27)

A god who will make people eat shitcakes and who likes to put the subject of graphic pissing in his holy book is a vulgar and barbaric god who thrives on the humiliation, degradation, debasement and defilement of people. He is a Savage God.

## **Vicious Cycle**

Americans are born into Vicious Cycle like many other societies/countries who are under the spell/dark magickal thinking of Abrahamic religions; all of which are borne of the first five books of the bible that is thousands of years old. The bible is ancient, archaic, Iron Age Thinking and Philosophy whose purpose is systematic social control of people by Terror.

An evil god could not have created a more vicious cycle if he tried.

He ties the very existence of life with the necessary suffering and killing of other life, including human life whom are supposedly to be his "children." What kind of parent is that? The creation, support and promulgation of a World of Death is the work of an evil, atomistic, despotic, psychopathic, authoritarian, misogynistic, racist, selfish, materialistic, tyrannical, blood-drinking genius with no conscience, not of an all powerful and all-loving god. The bible, in almost every form and version, backs this up.

## **The Love Songs/Beauty Wrapped In Evil**

Now people will say, "Hey, what about the good things the Bible teaches?" What about love your neighbor, do not steal or lie, do not kill (when the bible is rife with murder and death), love one another, so forth? All those love songs in the bible of "wisdom," beauty, love, paradise, forgiveness, salvation, so forth, are just Beauty Wrapped in Evil.

They are but small islands in a endless Sea of Blood.

If you weigh the evil of the bible against the good, the evil will break the scale! Just like the universe consisting mostly of dark matter, the bible consists mostly of dark matter. As there is some light in the universe, there is some light in the bible, but like the universe, the dark matter dwarfs the light matter.

## **The God of the Bible As A Psychopath**

It doesn't take a psychiatrist or psychologist to see that the god of the bible is a psychopath. He has no conscience. He loves violence, war, mayhem, chaos, suffering, death, human sacrifice, rape and all things evil. Like a psychopath, he tries to wear a "Mask of Sanity," so he looks "normal" to the world. The mask is flimsy.

Psychopaths get their power by remaining hidden. This is how the Pure Predator Personality works: Their victims don't see the evil coming and struggle in chaos and confusion to name it when it comes. Surprise and ambush to harm others are traits of a psychopath. More, the psychopath psychically steals energy from his victims. That is why victims and psychologists/psychiatrists report being completely drained after being in contact with psychopaths.

The god of the bible calls himself "good" to mask the fact that he's evil. The god of the bible lies, cheats, manipulates, bullies, controls and even murders. These are traits of a psychopath. More, the bible is the psychopathic god's book that sells, sows and forces a psychopathic world vision and world.

## **Don't Lose Hope: There Are Other, Better Gods**

For the majority of my life, I served the god of the bible. I tried to concentrate on the few good parts taught in the bible and believed in a god of pure love, despite his bad reputation demonstrated all over the bible.

My service to the god of the bible began when I was 6 ½ years old and I saw a sky full of angels for a whole afternoon. It was that Moment I decided to be a holy person and walk a holy road. All my life was spent in service to others. I prayed most of my life as well.

The day I stopped being a Christian was the day I had a long "near-death" experience and learned from the ethereal realm the truth of our existence. On August 29, 1999, at approximately 11:20 in the morning, my then-husband John Crow put a pillow over my head and suffocated me until I blacked out. Like a true predator, he caught me off guard and at first, I thought he was just trying to scare me, but no, he wanted to kill me.

Ironic that my computer crashed as I was writing this. Even my file recovery system didn't recover this piece and it has always recovered everything before. Some might think it's a "Sign" from one of the gods this happened, possibly because this is a book about the mechanics of the Savage American culture and society; thus I have to stick to the material planes.

So I'll truncate the two pages I wrote into a few chapters: At the point of death, I had an out-of-body, near-death experience that lasted for a very long time. It resembled Dante's "Divine Comedy," only I was alone. I was forced to watch evil in all its many forms, faces and systems. I saw the future and ironically, everything I SAW is coming true! I saw and experienced the New Heaven of the God of the Light and it was very beautiful. Lovely beyond description. Then I made a Deal with the God of the Light to come back to my body, right before the time I died. Somehow I would survive the smothering so I could LIVE to tell the world what I saw and what I learned, including the Truth that there is more than one god. There is Three. I've had personal dealings with the two male gods and have talked with the

True Goddess many times.

I also came back with a Message: Resist Corruption; Uncover/Name/Denounce & Fight Evil; and, Wish/Work/Try to Be Good.

When my Soul slammed back into my body, instead of trying to push John and the pillow off me, I rolled to the left and off the high bed. It almost snapped my left wrist, but I jumped up, grabbed my hair brush and a vase off the long dresser, and held them up as weapons as I slowly backed out the room. It took me almost a year and a half to finally get away from him.

I have a friend who is a scientist and high intellectual. This person has hinted to me that they believe that there is one god has dualistic personalities: one of benevolence and love; the other psychopathic. This person knows their ancient history better than me, but because of my experiences of my Divine Comedy, my beliefs are totally different.

Many people don't believe in god or gods. Many people believe that there is one god he has two faces, or two sides. Many people believe that we live only for the moment and then fade away forever. But you know what? It doesn't matter what your spiritual beliefs are or aren't. I have learned that you need to treat evil as a problem and to find solutions to that problem. Otherwise, it will fester and spread until it consumes you. We are born into a very evil world- An Ancient Established System of Evil-and either we can resist corruption and fight evil or let it consume us.

It's time for a Revolution by the majority of the population to get in control of our world; to stop the evil systems and death religions of the world. No matter how evil this world is, we can fight back non-violently to weaken, neutralize, push back and contain evil.

## **Christianity**

Christianity is the religion of about a billion people whose belief system centers on the person and teachings of Jesus Christ. In some articles I've read, Christianity numbers 2 billion people on the planet.

To Christians, Jesus of Nazareth was and is the Messiah or Christ promised by God in the prophecies of the Old Testament (the Hebrew Bible).

Christians believe that by Christ's life, death and resurrection, He freed those who believe in Him from their sinful state and made them recipients of God's saving grace. Many Christians await the Second Coming of Christ, which they believe will complete God's plan of salvation.

The Christian Bible, or Holy Scripture, includes the Old Testament and also the New Testament, a collection of early Christian writings proclaiming Jesus as lord and savior. Arising in the Jewish milieu of 1st Century Palestine, Christianity quickly spread through the Mediterranean world and in the 4th Century became the official religion of the Roman Empire.

Christianity inherited and modified the Jewish belief that the world would be transformed by the coming of the Reign of God. The Christians believed that the bodies of those who had died would rise again, be reanimated and that the righteous would be triumphant with the wicked punished. This belief, along with Jesus' promise of "eternal life," developed into a doctrine of eternal rewards (heaven) and punishments (hell) after death.

The principal theme of early Christian theological development was the interpretation of the faith in terms of concepts drawn from Greek philosophical thought. Saint Justin, Tertullian, Origen and other "apologists" and "founding fathers" of the 2nd and 3rd centuries began this process.

Christian societies have exhibited great variety in ethos, from mutual love, acceptance, and pacifism on the one hand, to strict authoritarianism and forcible repression of dissent on the other. Justification for all of these can be found in various passages in the Bible.

Most churches make a distinction between the clergy (those specially ordained to perform spiritual functions) and ordinary believers, the lay people. The Roman Catholic and Orthodox churches have an all-male threefold ministry of bishops, priests, deacons, plus several minor orders. The Roman Catholic Church is headed by the pope, who governs through a centralized bureaucracy (the Papacy) in consultation with his fellow bishops.

Certain basic doctrines drawn from Scripture (especially from the Gospels and the letters of Saint Paul), and interpreted by the "Fathers" of the church and the first four ecumenical councils, are accepted by all three of the major traditions of Christianity.

According to this body of Christian teaching, the original human beings rebelled against God, and from that time until the coming of Christ, the world was ruled by sin. The hope of a final reconciliation was kept alive by God's covenant with the Jews, the "chosen" people from whom the savior came from. This savior, Jesus Christ, partly vanquished sin and Satan.

Jesus was born of the Virgin Mary, conceived by the power of the Holy Spirit. He preached the coming of God's Kingdom but was rejected by the Jewish leaders, who delivered him to the Romans to be crucified.

On the third day after Christ's death God, raised him up from the dead. Jesus appeared to his disciples, commanding them to spread the good news of salvation from sin and death to all people. This, according to Christian belief, is the mission of Christ's church.

The Guardian of London reports that almost one-third of Bush's electoral base favors war in the Middle East. This group of apocalyptic Christians reportedly believes that Israel must occupy all its "historic Biblical lands" as a precondition for the final struggle against the legions of the Antichrist in Armageddon. This in turn will bring on the end of days and the "rapture." Patriarchal Christian religion teaches the villagers that God's kingdom is hierarchal and that God is dualistic, unchanging and atomistic.

The Romans were tolerant of the other religions in their realm. Although they built temples to their gods in the lands they occupied, the Romans let the local people worship how they chose. As tolerant as the Romans were, they were repulsed by Christianity. Why? Because the Romans were horrified at Christians' hatred for humanity.

Certainly Christianity wasn't the only death cult in the Ancient World, but this death cult was dangerous and the ancient Romans knew it. Pliny describes their practices as "benign," but that the core belief was a "vile superstition carried to an immoderate length" (John Paul, trans., "Selected Letters of the Younger Pliny").

## **Early Christian World**

Theological thinking can be traced to its origins. Original bible thinking reflects the worldview of ancient times built on a platform of Moloch. This thinking developed on the logic, culture, values, idealism, ecstatic dreaming, superstitions and prejudices of that time. Because the ancient Bible lands were patriarchal, the early Christian church, through social- and spiritual-engineering by church “fathers,” developed along male-denominator and psychopathic lines.

During the early days of the church, the worship of the Goddess came back throughout their ancient world in strong resurgence. There was the worship of the Phrygian Mater Magna or Kybele throughout Asia Minor. In Rome, the cult of Isis and her veneration under many other names (Demeter, Athena, Venus, Ceres, Ma Bellona, so forth) flourished. From the second century onwards, Christianity’s biggest rival was the Isis religion. During the temporary revival of classical paganism in Rome in 394 AD, a great festival was held in Isis’ honor.

Threat of the Goddess religions were always a thorn in the side of early Christian “Fathers”/Leaders. The early Christian world was misogynist, even though it was the work of women that turned the cult of Christianity into a religion.

The early Christian fathers, in moments of spiritual ecstasy, would combine their hatred of women and paganism (that included a relatively high status for women) with their ecstatic spiritual rush, filter this experience into epiphanies and then process this information into dialectic tirades against women. They also did this about suffering, servitude and forfeiture of self.

It seems that the rigid patriarchal system, which Jesus did his best to dismantle (Matthew 10:37-39 and Luke 14:26) was so pervasive to the lives of the majority of early Christian male leaders that they were blind to this. Instead, the early Christians, particularly the early Christian “fathers,” automatically gravitated toward the most restrictive, subordinate passages of the New Testament to justify these “changes.”

Early Christians turned to the structures of the societies in which they lived for models to apply to model of the newly forming church structures. In the Greco-Roman society of the Roman Empire, women were almost entirely from political life. Following this Greco-Roman model (e.g., “diocese” and “parish” are originally Roman civil administration terms) the developing church set up authority structures and a patriarchal system that almost entirely excluded women.

## **Dualism**

Although the new church was anti-pagan, it embraced the pervasive Greek notion of dualism (matter and spirit, the former evil and the latter good, and personified by female and male) and its progeny, asceticism. Dualism was not restricted to Greek thought (e.g., Persian Zoroastrianism and Manichesim), nor was asceticism (e.g., Essenes and Therapeutae).

Ancient males believed that when things are polar, they form a balance in all universes (material, spiritual). Dualism is any theory or system of thought that sees/recognizes only two independent and mutually irreducible principles or substances, which are sometimes complementary and sometimes in conflict. These principles or substances can be anything:

man or woman, good or bad, flesh or spirit, white or black, truth or lies, so forth.

The polarities of a dualism are distinguished from the thesis and antithesis of dialectic, in that the former are stable and mutually exclusive and the latter are dynamic, always tending toward synthesis.

Dualisms are of two basic kinds: (1) metaphysical; and, (2) epistemological. Metaphysical dualisms explain the nature of reality between two substances, such as world and God, or two principles, such as good and evil.

Epistemological dualisms use two substances or principles, such as consciousness and phenomena or subject and object, to analyze the knowing process.

Plato's being and becoming, Aristotle's form and matter, Kant's nouema and phenomena, the yin and yang in Chinese philosophy, and the traditional issues of God and man, space and time, and nature and nurture are among other famous dualisms.

### **Why Does the Modern Male World Embrace Ancient Polar Thinking/Philosophy?**

Because it benefits someone, particularly the world of males. If males are good, then females are bad. If males are strong, then females are weak. If your male ruler is right, then his enemy or competition's ruler is wrong. If might makes right, then weakness makes and means wrong.

Justifications for injustice and/or oppression can be concocted with polar thinking, philosophy and belief. Polar thinking is an invisible beam that holds up male institutions.

### **Ultimate Power: The Donation of Constantine**

Almost every social injustice that has been committed in the Christian World can be connected to this document. All monarchical and governmental practice has, for centuries, been based upon the initial precept of this charter. In reality, its precept is wholly invalid.

The *Donation of Constantine* made its first appearance in the middle 8th century. Emperor Constantine allegedly wrote it some 400 years earlier. It was dated and carried Constantine's supposed signature. It cemented the Pope as the supreme spiritual and temporal head of Christendom.

The document proclaimed that the Emperor's appointed Pope was Christ's elected representative on Earth, with the power to create kings as his subordinates as his palace ranked above all the palaces in the world and that the papal dignity was above that of any mortal rulers. The Pope was formally styled as the Vicar of the Son of God (Vicarius Filii Dei). European kings became servants of the Church instead of being servants of the people.

During the Renaissance era, proof emerged that the *Donation of Constantine* was an outright forgery. Its New Testament references relate to the Latin Vulgate Bible—an edition translated and compiled by Saint Jerome, who was not born until 340 AD, 26 years after Constantine supposedly signed the document. The language of the *Donation of Constantine*, with its numerous anachronisms in form and content is that of the 8th century and bears no relation to the writing style of Constantine's day.

Although implemented in 751, there is no official Church reference to the Donation of Constantine until it was mentioned in a letter written by Pope Leo IX to Michael Cerularius, the Patriarch of Constantinople in 1054.

The *Donation of Constantine* was first declared to be a forgery by the Saxon Emperor Otto III in the year 1001. Otto's pronouncement was unwelcome to Pope Sylvester II, but the matter was ignored. German theologian and philosopher Nicholas of Cusa (1401-64) announced that Constantine had never produced the document.

The *Donation of Constantine* was not mentioned again until the Italian linguist Lorenzo Valla fiercely attacked its authenticity in the 15th century. In Valla's 1450 report known as the *Declamation*, he reported that the document was an outright forgery compiled some four centuries after Emperor Constantine's death.

Valla's *Declamation* disappeared within the Vatican Archives. Murator, a priest who worked in the Vatican Library in the 17th century, later discovered it.

Christopher B. Coleman, a director of the Historical Commission and Historic Bureau at the Indiana State Library produced an updated commentary on The Treatise of Lorenzo Valla on the Donation of Constantine in 1924. Since then, little has been written on this document.

The Donation of Constantine still exists as the "holy" document that justified the Church to maintain control of monarchial, political, military and educational affairs for over 1200 years.

### **Selling Servitude, Suffering and Victimization By Crystallizing Theology for That Purpose**

Traditional theological discourse and Christian scriptural texts promote, support and crystallize patriarchal-designed suffering and victimization. Because males are "sons" of God, they have to expect suffering as disciplining chastisements from God.

Matthew 5-6 constructs a sacred canopy that instructs and compels victims to accept their suffering without resistance. Philippians 2:8 teach that people should be humble and obedient because Christ was. I Peter 2:18 tells servants to obey their masters and I Peter 2: 21 teaches that we should be willing to follow Christ's footsteps and suffer because he suffered.

### **Losing Christ's' Principle Messages**

There were three attitudes characteristic of Jesus: (1) a service rather than authority orientation; (2) a full-life affirming stance (eating, drinking wine, celebrating, so forth); and (3) an egalitarian perception of women. The early Christian Church significantly reversed all these attitudes.

### **Losing and Hiding Christ's Other Message**

Although it has been lost, glossed over or just "reinterpreted," one of Christ's Messages was quashed by the early Christian Church. Christ warned people to reject the culture of Moloch and to stop serving and worshipping this evil god of death.

Ever wonder why the authorities killed Christ when ancient records reveal that Christ wasn't

the only prophet in the area? In fact, there were over 200 prophets in the “wilderness” (desert) that performed miracles during the same time. These guys were very radical and if they didn’t eat honey and locusts like John the Baptist, they ate scorpions, snakes, so forth. Apollonius of Tyana (from Turkey) and Simon Magnus were powerful prophets living at the same time who reportedly performed the same kind of miracles as Jesus.

Many ancient books that were evidence to Christ’s real preaching were in books that burned during the time when the Library of Alexandria burned.

### **Library of Alexandria**

Throughout the history of the world, the burning of books and destruction of religious/spiritual temples is as a tool wielded often by secular and religious authorities. It is done to suppress dissenting or “heretical” views that are perceived as posing a threat to the prevailing order. It destroys the history, language, spiritual beliefs and intellectual heritage of a group; thus it destroys their culture. Destruction of cultures is socially-engineered by Power (status quo) so it can force it’s culture on others as the dominant culture.

One of the Wonders of the Ancient World, the Royal Library of Alexandria was once the largest library in the world. It is usually assumed that the library was founded at the beginning of the 3rd century BC during the reign of Ptolemy II of Egypt after his father had set up the temple of the Muses, the Musaeum (from where we get "Museum"). The initial organization is attributed to Demetrius Phalereus and is estimated to have stored at its peak 400,000 to 700,000 parchment scrolls. One story holds that the Library was seeded with Aristotle's own private collection, through one of his students, Demetrius Phalereus.

Part of a complex, the Library of Alexandria became a repository for the books of the ancient world. It should be noted that part of the complex was a large pagan temple dedicated to Serapis.

The Library of Alexandria held many theological texts that were considered “dangerous” and “heretical” to the early Christian Church. This is because these texts held contradicted with the doctrines and teachings of the Church. Early Church leaders wanted these books disappeared. They schemed for a long time to rid the ancient world of the “pagan” library.

In the late 4th century, persecution of pagans by Christians had reached new levels of intensity. In 391, Emperor Theodosius ordered the destruction of all pagan temples and Patriarch Theophilus of Alexandria, the catholic patron saint of arsonists, was happy to comply with the emperor’s request.

Temples and statues were destroyed throughout the Roman Empire. Pagan rituals were forbidden under punishment of death. “Unauthorized,” pagan or “heretical” books were seized and destroyed. Libraries were closed or burned.

Although the library's destruction remains a mystery, most scholars agree that the Library disappeared around the same time as the time of Theophilus’ rampage in Alexandria against the Pagans. Some accounts say the library caught fire after Theophilus burned the connecting temple.

Throughout the centuries, throughout “Christendom” or the “Holy Roman Empire,” all kinds

of books, scrolls, so forth, were destroyed by the Christian church, including writings about who Christ really was and what he really said. This is because the “leaders” wanted to present Christ in the image they wanted him to be presented in (framing his personality/icon to their liking and ecstatic vision of who they wanted him to be) plus they wanted to eliminate anything that would stand in the way of monotheism.

### **Gnosticism/Marcionites: The “Other” Christianity**

Unknown to many, there were “other” Christians/Christian faith developing at the time the early Roman catholic church was developing. Later, the Holy Roman Church would denounce these Christians as heretics, have their holy books burned and often times, killed them. That is why few complete Gnostic books exist.

Gnosticism is partially an adaptation of Greek philosophy. Gnostics assume that by attaining enough knowledge (gnosis in Greek), one can release themselves from a delusional attachment to the material world and thus free one's spiritual self in order to join the Good God in his far better place; in the Real Paradise.

Gnostics rejected the body and saw it as a prison for the soul. Gnostics rejected the Hebrew Scriptures and portrayed the God of the Jews as an evil spirit while Christianity embraced Judaism as it's “roots.” Gnosticism was too elitist and complicated, whereas Christianity was more egalitarian and simple.

The Marcionites believed in many things, including the belief that more than one god existed. The Marcionites removed all vestiges of Judaism from their belief system. The only hitch was that it was necessary to abandon monotheism and embrace at least two Gods.

Gnostics and Marcionites were alike in believing the troubles of the world and the dismal condition of our physical bodies were created by an inferior, bad God (Enlil). The Good God (Enki) added the spark of divinity to his human creations.

Genesis has two Creation Stories. The first creation story takes place in Genesis 1, while the second takes place in Genesis 2. First God creates the universe and then the “Lord God” comes down to take credit for the work.

The first creation account of man takes place on the sixth day: "And God said, 'Let us make man in our image, in our likeness. They shall rule the fish of the sea, the birds of the sky, the cattle, the whole earth, and all the creeping things that creep on the earth.' And God created man in His image, in the image of God He created him; male and female He created them. God blessed them and God said to them, 'Be fertile and increase, fill the earth and master it; and rule the fish of the sea, the birds of the sky, and all the living things that creep on earth.'" (Gen 1:26-28)

The second account of creation says that "the LORD God formed man from the dust of the earth. He blew into his nostrils the breath of life, and man became a living being." (Genesis 2:7)

### **The Mythology and Idealization of Suffering on Earth**

The early Christian “fathers” philosophized and idealized about Christ’s suffering until they beat it to death. They agonized over why someone with Christ’s power and goodness would have to suffer and die horribly on the.

Many of these “wise” leaders came to the conclusion that if Christ had to suffer while he was on earth, people should have to suffer as well. Somewhere in this sick, ambitious male thinking came the notion that suffering was good for people. More, these “fathers” not only found “value” in suffering, but value in extreme humility, humiliation and degradation, especially degradation through servitude.

As for suffering, many early Christian “fathers” came to the conclusion that it was man’s duty to suffer, especially since innocent males were forced to be born into sin because of the “betrayal” of Adam (the symbol of all males) by the “natural” deceit of Eve (the symbol of all females).

Besides suffering being man’s duty, there were and are the religious zealots who believe it is their job to carry out this divine duty and make life hell on earth for people. Of course, they usually get some kind of higher male privilege for this “duty” of inflicting suffering and misery on everyone. And of course, their holy station removes them from personal suffering or a conscience from the tedious suffering of others.

Also in the thinking of the early elders, suffering became something to emulate as a symbol of faith, as a symbol of obedience to Christ and god. This thought is still strong in the Catholic Church and in many protestant churches.

Suffering is destructive and if inflicted on oneself, self-destructive. The view that everyone should suffer as a tribute/offering/emulation of Christ is thus destructive and self-destructive. Destruction only begets destruction. Destructive thinking only begets a world ripe for the rape of evil forces, including fascism and capitalism.

Of course, most of the patriarchal Christians didn’t suffer. That was for the little people, not God’s official representatives. God had privileges and so should his official “regents.” Not all regents took the perks, but most did.

### **Jesus Christ: Super Star, Super Hero and Icon**

The early Christian fathers made Christ into a super-hero. Christ’s life became romanticized and idealized. In the twisted, polar thinking of these “Fathers,” Christ was beyond temptation, therefore he was beyond any temptation and led an austere and/or “holy” life.

Jesus’ vision and wisdom was extraordinary and far ahead of its time. It soon became co-opted by the greedy and the power-hungry, who twisted it in subtle ways to serve their own ends. The corrupted message became a dogma drilled into people’s heads to control them, plus make mountains of money.

Spirituality or the spiritual experience is different for everyone and it should remain that. But instead, organized religions try to homogenize and interpret it so somehow it “fits” everyone. It’s kind of like making everyone wear the same shoe. Impossible, but people keep trying to do it.

## **The Dark Side of Christian History**

For over two thousand years, Christianity has done great evil to the people of the world. It has made billions suffer and has caused hundreds of millions to die premature deaths. Not only that, its negative, dominant, psychopathic ideas and ideals cover the world and has affected (and continues to affect) most lives on the planet.

Helen Ellerbe writes in *The Dark Side of Christian History*, pgs 1-3, “The Christian church has left a legacy, a world view, that permeates every aspect of western society, both secular and religious. It is a legacy that fosters sexism, racism, the intolerance of difference, and the desecration of the natural environment. The Church, throughout much of its history, has demonstrated a disregard for human freedom, dignity, and self-determination. It has attempted to control, contain and confine spirituality, the relationship between an individual and God. As a result, Christianity has helped to create a society in which people are alienated not only from each other but also from the divine.

This Christianity—called “orthodox Christianity here—is embedded in the belief a singular, solely masculine, authoritarian God who demands unquestioning obedience and who mercilessly punishes dissent. Orthodox Christians believe that fear is essential to sustain what they perceive to be a divinely ordained hierarchal order in which a celestial God reigns singularly at a pinnacle, far removed from the earth and all humankind.

While orthodox Christians originally represented by one of many sects of Christian beliefs, it was these Christians who came to wield political power. By adapting their Christianity to appeal to the Roman government, they won unprecedented authority and privilege. Their church became known as the Church. This newly acquired power enabled them to enforce conformity to their practices. Persecuting those who did not conform, however, required the Church to clarify its own doctrine and theology, to define exactly what was and was not heresy. In doing so, the Church consistently chose tenets and ideologies that best supported its control over the individual and society.

As it took over leadership in Europe and the Roman Empire collapsed, the Church all but wiped out education, technology, science, medicine, history, art and commerce. The Church amassed enormous wealth as the rest of society languished in the dark ages. When dramatic social changes after the turn of the millennium brought an end to the isolation of the era, the Church fought to maintain its supremacy and control. It rallied an increasingly dissident society against perceived enemies, instigating attacks upon Muslims, East Orthodox Christians, and Jews. When these crusades failed to subdue dissent, the Church turned its force against European society itself, launching a brutal assault upon southern France and instituting the Inquisition.

The crusades and even the early centuries of the Inquisition did little to teach people a true understanding of orthodox Christianity. It was the Protestant Reformation and the Catholic Counter Reformation that accomplished this. Only during the Reformation did the populace of Europe adopt more than a veneer of Christianity. The Reformation terrified people with threats of the devil and witchcraft. The common perception that the physical world was imbued with God’s presence and with magic was replaced during the Reformation with a new belief that the divine assistance was no longer possible and that the physical world belonged only to the devil. It was a three hundred year holocaust against all who dared believe in divine assistance and magic that finally secured the conversion of Europe to orthodox Christianity.

By convincing people that God was separate from the physical world, orthodox Christianity—perhaps unwittingly—laid the foundation for the modern world, a world believed mechanical and determined, a world in which God is at most a remote and impersonal creator. People came to attribute their sense of powerlessness, not so much to their sinful human nature as to their insignificance in such a world. The theories of scientists and philosophers such as Isaac Newton, René Descartes and Charles Darwin reinforced orthodox Christian beliefs such as the inevitability of struggle and the necessity for domination. Such beliefs, however, are now proving not only to have serious drawbacks, but also to be scientifically limited.

Orthodox Christianity has also had devastating impact upon humanity's relationship with nature. As people began to believe that God was removed from and disdainful of the physical world, they lost their reverence for nature. Holidays, which had helped people integrate the seasons with their lives, were changed into solemn commemorations of biblical events bearing no connection to the earth's cycles. The perception of time changed so that it seemed to confirm that the earth was no more than the inevitable result of the mechanistic operation of inanimate components; it confirmed that the earth lacked sanctity.

The dark side of Christian history can help us understand the severing of our connection with the sacred. It can teach us of the most insidious and damaging slavery of all: the control of people through dictating and containing their spirituality. This ignored side of history can illuminate the ideas and beliefs which foster the denigration of human rights, the intolerance of difference, and the desecration of the natural environment. Once recognized, we can prevent such beliefs from ever wrecking such destruction again. When we understand how we have come to be separated from the divine, we can begin to heal not on the scars, but the very alienation itself.”

Not only does Helen Ellerbe write about some of the things I was going to write in this chapter (why reinvent the wheel?-she tells it in a good way), her words above reinforce other things I have written about in other chapters of this book.

Notice at the beginning of the last paragraph, “The dark side of Christian history can help us understand the severing of our connection with the sacred.” This also applies to learning about the very dark side of biblical history.

As for the book *The Dark Side of Christian History*, I recommend it for people who want a simple, clear, simple-to-read, honest and intelligent understanding of the dark side of the world's most dominant and dominating religion. It is a very good read!

### **Roots of America: The Bible and Puritannical Christianity**

Begun as a haven for entrepreneurial enterprises for the rich (primarily English) and as a haven for radical Puritans, America is foremost a “Christian nation.” Christianity, with long and tenuous roots in Puritanism, is the dominant religion.

America began as a Christian country and every signer of the Declaration of Independence and the Constitution were Christians, with many of them having Puritannical roots, including John Adams who was a Puritan from Massachusetts. These Christian roots are found in the thinking of the “founding fathers” of America.

The American Puritans brought strong religious impulses to bear in all colonies north of Virginia, but New England was their stronghold, and the Congregationalist churches established there were able to perpetuate their viewpoint about a Christian society for more than 200 years. In essence, the thirteen “original” colonies were theocratic, with Massachusetts the biggest, established theocracy.

Most of the Puritan clerics held ideas in the mainstream of Calvinistic thought. In addition to believing in the absolute sovereignty of God, the total depravity of man and the complete dependence of human beings on divine grace for salvation, they stressed the importance of a church-state.

Puritan leaders insisted that they, as “God's elect,” had the duty to direct national affairs according to God's will as revealed in the Bible. This union of church and state to form a holy commonwealth gave Puritanism direct and exclusive control over most colonial activity until commercial and political changes forced them to relinquish it at the end of the 17th century.

During the whole colonial period, Puritanism had direct impact on both religious thought and cultural patterns in America. In the 19th century, its influence was indirect, but it could still be seen at work by stressing the importance of education in religious leadership and demanding that religious motivations be tested by applying them to practical situations.

Because of its diffuse nature, no one knows for sure when Puritanism began to decline in America. Some historians believe that it lost its influence in New England by the early 18th century, but Jonathan Edwards and his disciple Samuel Hopkins revived Puritan thought and kept it alive until 1800. Presbyterians under the leadership of Jonathan Dickinson and Baptists revitalized Puritan ideals in several denominational forms through the 18th century.

Ever hear that saying, “Life is a bitch and then you die?” In America, that is life's theme song if you're born to a peasant class. But it is more than a theme song; it is a fundamental philosophy of a Puritanical-inspired society. Hundreds of years later, the Puritans' tentacles reach deep into the religious and social culture of America.

Puritans had a real narrow and negative worldview. They thought that you were born to be pious and suffer. Some believed that suffering was Christ-like or that it brought you closer to Christ.

In the puritan, Calvinist and other related theologies, it was God's will for people to suffer. People suffered because of supernatural, spiritual forces, not from greed-inspired, unnatural forces of ambitious rulers and economies tilted to favor the rich while starving the poor. People were also told that it was God's will that people accept their lot in life, even if that lot was unlivable and unfair because the socio-economic game was fixed. The congregants were urged to hand over their power and let the “elder” patriarchal males think for them, because these elite males were “wiser.” Not only were congregants urged to hand over their personal power to the church, they were expected to tithe generously, even if they couldn't afford it.

But it wasn't just the “Pilgrims” on the Mayflower that seized wealth and power in America. It was also the other the Puritans that followed them ten to thirty years later. Under the banner of “Christianity” and “divine right,” the Puritans slaughtered the Indians that lived in Massachusetts and took their land. The Puritans also received a charter from the English Crown. This is where their wealth, power and influence began.

The Massachusetts Bay Company was a joint stock trading company chartered by the English crown in 1629 to colonize a vast area in New England extending from 3 mi (4.8 km) miles north of the Merrimack River to 3 mi miles south of the Charles River. It was quickly taken over by a group of Puritans, under the leadership of John Winthrop, who wished to establish a religious community in the New World.

The Puritan leaders carried the company's charter with them to New England; this action enabled them to govern themselves and meant that governors and stockholders in England would not control them. Bending the charter to their own purposes, the Puritans transformed the company into a religious commonwealth. More, powerful traders through this company, the Puritans were able to influence colonial religion and culture throughout the whole eastern Atlantic seaboard.

Puritan belief is anti-female because it condemns an unmarried woman to chastity/ceibacy. Absolute sexual continence is imposed upon the unmarried woman, under the pain of being considered immoral or fallen. Once fallen, women are put on “display” and are forced to live at the very fringe of society.

Puritan women lived in a male-dominated society that believed God created women for man’s benefit and for him to subjugate. Women had no say in political decisions, could not vote or participate in town meetings, and were not on juries. Women were not allowed to speak in church or interpret scripture. They had to keep their heads covered at all times when in public. They were not taught to read or write. Most were unable to even write or spell their own names.

Puritanism has been bred into the Anglo-Saxon culture of America. It continues to thrive (secretly and transparently) because it is imprinted in the bedrock of the America culture and it’s the cornerstones of the institutions of patriarchal America.

Puritanism is dualistic as it believes in the poles of black and white, or good and bad, and sees no colors or grays in between these poles. Puritanism makes people self-centered and hypocritical. Puritanism turns life into gloom, joy into despair, naturalness into disease, honesty and truth into hideous lies and hypocrisies.

### **Puritanical Love of Torture and Public Humiliation**

Devices of torture, such as the ducking stool and whipping post, as well as devices of public humiliation such as the stocks, were favorite puritan methods for “purification” and “rehabilitation”(punitive punishment).

George Orwell said in 1984, “The object of torture is torture.”

Torture, nor does public humiliation, purify or rehabilitate anyone. It breaks and/or permanently wounds them if it doesn’t kill them.

### **Hypocrisy of Humiliation**

In ancient Jewish days, offenses against fellow humans were sacrilegious. It was more than a denial of God Himself (who the Jews believed had made men and women in His Image); it

was a direct attack against Him.

Jewish life was dualistic and hypocritical. Although many Ancient Jews sacrificed their children to Moloch, they considered life sacred at the same time. Although human sacrifice wasn't considered murder (it was considered a religious duty), murder was the greatest of all crimes.

God created Adam, a single man, to teach people that whoever destroyed a single human life would be punished as though they had destroyed the whole world. Equally, anyone who saved a life redeemed the world. This belief was not just a lofty sentiment of the Jewish Patriarchs: It was a basic legal principle.

To humiliate anyone was equivalent to murder or attempted murder. To humiliate anyone, including a slave, was one of the most serious offenses you could do against God. It directly attacked Him.

Spreading scandal was tantamount to humiliation because the Ancient Jews saw it as denying the existence of God; thus it was more than character assassination, it was heresy as well.

Hospitality was as important because it affected dignity as much as humiliation. Both the Old and New Testaments of the Bible speak of hospitality.

The right to a person's liberty was critical for the person and their spirit (whose life and spirit came from God). To bind a person was to bind God. The ancient Jews did not have prisons, although others in the region did. It is difficult, if not impossible, to find a single reference to imprisonment in all of Rabbinic literature.

Yahweh was not a stern patriarch who watched everyone's moves from up above. Instead, He resided within each human being and people were expected to see Him in every person. Thus, every dealing and connection with other human beings was expected to be sacred encounters.

The Jewish philosophy/theology that helped people cultivate compassion and respect for their fellow man. This was a hallmark of the religions of the Axial Age.

On the contrary, humiliation is built into the bedrock of the Christian Church. It comes from the writing and beliefs of the early Church fathers, who believed they had epiphanies that to be humiliated and suffer was Christ-like because Christ had been humiliated and suffered.

In their narrow, negative, anti-life, male thinking, the early Church Fathers taught that people should emulate Christ's life. They surmised that if Christ had to be humiliated and suffer for people, people should be willing to do the same for him, thus the Church and society. Christ never taught this. If anything, he taught about compassion and dignity.

Why the flip-flop, especially when much of Christian religion is rooted in the Old Testament? Because humiliation is a perfect control tool. The ancient Jews were right: humiliation slays the spirit. Sticks and stones may break your bones, but the invisible but tangible cuts from humiliation wreck the spirit. Nobody wants to be humiliated, thus most people are willing to do as they're told to avoid humiliation.

But it goes deeper: once you start crossing the lines (e.g., the humiliation of other human

beings), it's easier to acclimate people to all the other forms of male brute force against them. And somewhere in this philosophical twilight, murder becomes justified.

### **Why Did the Puritans Embrace Ancient, Negative Biblical Codes?**

**Power.** Believing in the negative codes sustains, protects and propagates a male-dominator world (at least within the Christian realm). It also keeps the elite, powerful (violent) males in power.

**Justification of Greed Because God Lusts for Gold.** In the Old Testament, the Jewish god lusted for gold. When the walls tumbled down, Joshua's men killed every man, woman and child in Jericho, as well as all their livestock as "an offering to the Lord." Joshua spared the prostitute who betrayed her people and harbored Joshua's spies (Joshua 6:17). Afterwards, all the gold, silver, bronze and iron was salvaged and put into the "lord's treasury"(Joshua 6:17). King Solomon had his mines, and Jerusalem's temple was gilt with gold.

**Control Over Women's Lives.** Patriarchal religion insists on control over the private sphere, particularly women's lives. It justifies this in various ways. Men and women never usually sit at the same table in religion and even if they do, women's needs and rights are usually ignored, downgraded and/or swept under the rug.

### **Christian Symbolic Universe**

A Christian Symbolic Universe proclaims a firm, male God whose will and command are revealed in the patriarchal texts of scriptures and doctrine. This religiously legitimates, supports and endorses misogyny, but also racism, status inferiority, homophobia and xenophobia.

Because Christianity is built on a platform of an evil book written for an evil god (Moloch), Christianity is inherently evil. Thus, all its symbols, big and small are representative of evil and evil systems.

### **Smaller Symbolic Universe**

The landscape of America is filled with national symbols and it is also filled with Christian symbols. Some are apparent like the giant crucifixes along the highways in Texas. Others are small. Still, the American culture is drowning in national and religious power symbols that mostly mean the same. Some people see the American flag as a symbol of Christianity.

### **Tragedy, Illness and Spiritual Shipwreck**

On the morning of March 6, 2004, a Saturday, I decided to watch television. The numbers on the "Big Daddy" (jobs growth for February) had kept changing the previous day, so I wanted to see if the number had "settled."

Its amazing how fast bad news for the Bush administration evaporates. CNN wasn't running any more "news" about it, so I flipped to CNBC. Right there in front of me was something I needed to put in this chapter.

Instead of "news," there was a TV evangelical program on from America called *Answers with*

*Bayless Conley.* It was typically American in its directives to obey male authority, beginning with God, then in the descending order: “God’s official representatives”(evangelical ministers); and, male government authority figures (president, police, military, so forth).

Mr. Conley went into this “loving, but stern father” speech about God I had heard hundreds of times before in many churches all over America. In other words, God loves us all like a father, but like a “fair” and “just” father, he must be stern to be righteous. In other words, God will judge us and met out harsh punishment.

It reminded me of Judge Leroy Bean. Just like Judge Leroy Bean of the Texas Territory, God is the Law and the interpretation of it. Just like Bean, sinners will have a fast trial with a very brutal punishment.

The reverend told his congregation that Apostle Paul called God’s judgment “the Great Terror.” Terrorism was implied everywhere in the sermon, but now it was clear.

The kind, but stern and serious “man of God” warned the congregation and the depressed, lonely, sick, searching people at home that if we didn’t obey God and the “Bible,” that almost every person would suffer tragedy, illness and spiritual shipwreck. Then he went into a little list of people from different times that experienced this. Never mind that part of the human experience for most people is to experience tragedy, illness and spiritual shipwreck in their lives, whether as observers or sufferers. To threaten people with tragedy, illness and spiritual shipwreck if people don’t surrender to their interpretation of God as a terroristic threat!

And you know what? It works! It works so well that its been used for hundreds of years. Governments love this form of patriarchal control because it urges people to obey every kind of male authority, plus the Christian church teaches its flock to obey the government.

Then there is always the threat that God is going to replay every person’s life in front of a giant gathering of all His people and angels. Every sin and everything a person is ashamed of will be exposed to everyone else.

But ah, there is a solution so people can escape impending hell in the after-life or public embarrassment of their private lives revealed to several quadrillion angels and spirits on a giant, big-screen TV as big as a universe. People can “repent,” “confess,” and then “obey.”

Not only that, there are rewards for the obedient! Maybe not food, shelter, equality or human rights in this life, but the obedient are guaranteed all those things in the next life. Of course, it all depends on how you live this life and how well you “obey.”

Repent-Confess-Obey are code words for Guilt-Promise-Obey. Guilty people are more susceptible to obey social and religious directives of the males in charge. People are made to feel guilty for anything so their spirits are lower and are thus less resistant to the forces of patriarchal control.

Because ancient Judaism and modern Christianity have so many rules against anything sensual or human, everything is a sin, whether it is destructive or self-destructive to the person or not. So when “guilty,” terrified people reach step number two: confess, they’ve got all kinds of “sins” to confess. This gives psychological power to the representative of the patriarchal religion and system.

By this time, many people are spiritual, emotional, psychological shipwrecks: malleable clay to be transformed into “servants of God.” Not only that, they are ripe and easy to indoctrinate into obeying the America system of perfect patriarchal police control.

Mr. Conley reminded his faithful followers of this when he told them that “position” and “reward” in heaven depended on how they obeyed and lived their lives on earth. Bingo. It was the perfect message for obedience of the patriarchal state.

Threats of spiritual destruction, suffering, so forth, to make a person do something is called terrorism. There is no other word for it. Not only that, when God’s image and persona is lowered to the image of a violent male who demands complete control of our lives, it equates all white males in power to the Image of God. This is a psychological ploy as old as time itself to keep people superstitious and ignorant, thus obedient.

Now the same impoverished, negative messages of obedience to patriarchal control are being relayed into millions of European homes. Mixing messages of fear, love and “answers,” make many vulnerable people into “believers.” Many of these people will spend their entire lives in servitude to the entire system because of it and they will never know it. That, or they realize it when it is too late...

### **The Slippery Slope of Surrender**

It would be too easy for the ruling elite patriarchs if people would just hand over their free will to them, but people tend to embrace freedom and the use of their free will. People also want to choose their lives, not let others do it for them.

In a pyramidal, elite male patriarchal system, it is difficult to control the masses. Especially when there are too many in Ground Zero. History has shown again and again that poor people tend to riot when they get too hungry and desperate.

Ruling Patriarchs need religion to teach people to be subservient: To the religion, of course, but also to established lines of power.

As later demonstrated in this book, not one type of control “fits all.” Not even force or the constant threat of it. Therefore, the village is blanketed with various types of control (direct and indirect) so each villager is dosed with almost every type of subliminal and apparent type of control. Also, mixtures from these different forms of control tend to form “cocktails,” thus forcing/manipulating individual villagers into obedience.

Obedience to the patriarchal order begins in childhood. Parents teach it and it is taught everywhere in the culture. Churches and schools teach it. Almost every school in America has uniform curriculums for the different grades and the teaching of obedience to patriarchal authority is embedded in these curriculums, whether it is public or parochial schools. I was a substitute teacher in many schools-I saw it everywhere, in every grade.

Clubs and Masonic Lodges teach obedience to elite males, males and male systems. As discussed earlier in the book, elite males tend to look the same: wealthy and powerful white males. This subliminally entrenches the notion that elite white males are the only ones fit to rule (“Grandfather or Father knows best...”).

Surrender of will is constantly pushed by established religion. For some Christian religions, complete surrender of the self to Christ and subsequent surrender to the patriarchal system that supports these religions is essential for admission to Heaven or to receive better “rank” and “privilege” in heaven. Nobody wants to end up in a tarpaper shack on the edge of Heaven for eternity!

Messages of surrender of self to God and State is embedded in the whole social tapestry. Of course there are always those messages of “freedom” everywhere, but the messages of surrender are greater, thus they eclipse the messages of freedom. Reverse messages are a useful tool of propaganda: it gives people one message while secretly drowning it out with large amounts of the opposite message. It tells people they’re “free” but in reality, they’re not free.

Once someone begins to give his or her power away and blindly obey male authority, it becomes a slippery slope. In the end, many people become completely controlled. Worse, almost all of them never realize it. The perfect patriarchal police state is the one where most of the people don’t realize they’re being controlled.

### **Obey Patriarchy Because Father Knows Best**

I was born in the 1950’s in an age of “innocence.” White males ran my whole world. On television, there were several vanilla and fantasy programs about American families. One was “Father Knows Best.” It was an appropriate name for the patriarchal-run family, because the constant message in America society is that “Father” (the ruling patriarchs) know best. Americans are told that these “benevolent” fathers really know what’s best for the country and us so we should hand over all our power to them.

Through many levers in the hidden culture, most Americans are innately obedient to governing male authority. As David Edwards says in *Burning All Illusions*, “The modern susceptibility to conformity and obedience to authority indicates that the truth endorsed by authority is likely to be accepted as such by a majority of people, who are innately obedient to authority. This obedience-truth will then become a consensus-truth accepted by many individuals unable to stand alone against the majority. In this way, the truth promulgated by the propaganda system—however irrational—stand a good chance of becoming the consensus, and maybe come to see self-evident common sense.”

### **Deliver Us From Evil: The Politics of Moral Behavior**

The ancient Israelite patriarchs had a hard time controlling their "flock." It seems that people don't want to suffer needlessly or even at all. It seems that people find pleasure more enjoyable than boredom. Many people were offended by an inflexible, joyless God and were attracted to other religions, including ones with the patriarchal dreaded matriarchal values such as compassion.

In the ancient world, women were looked up on as weaker and inferior, so of course any religion connected to the values of women flew in the face of the patriarch's God who demanded complete surrender to Him and his representatives. Besides, God looked like the rulers: He usually had a beard; He seemingly came from a desert culture; the perfect executive, He expected orders to be carried out immediately; a “loving” father, He was quick to anger;

because He was “just,” he always has to punish his “bad” children even to the point of killing them; and, God did not tolerate any “disobedience.”

Some Israelites wanted to find their own spirituality/individuality. To the desert patriarchs' dismay, many Ancient Israelites were attracted to non-violent religions that believed in a feminine God of peace. These people wanted to experience life and its pleasures. But pleasure is reserved for the patriarchal representatives of God, not the average person. That's because they're the ones with the money, power and privilege: they're the ones with the Rights of Kings. Everyone else was supposed to eek out a meager existence and obey everything the patriarchs told them. They were expected to obey the patriarchs as representatives of God and obey the patriarchal system.

Like males in power now, the ancient biblical patriarchs enjoyed perks from power. They crushed their enemies, owned treasuries of gold, ate the best food, and drank the best wine.

Like males in power now, patriarchs of the past got all the young, beautiful women they wanted. Ancient rich males could have all the wives and concubines they wanted, but ancient women were forced to have only one husband or owner. Even when King David was dying, his servants brought him young virgins to try to cheer him up.

Clerics justify this inequity by saying that these powerful males of ancient times were following traditions of the time, plus it was God's “will” since God wanted the descendants of Abraham to "be fruitful and multiply," In essence, patriarchal interpreters of the Bible say that God was giving males permission to leave the Adam and Eve model of one male and one female per marriage so they could make all kinds of children.

The ancient Israelis patriarchs had a big problem with “morality.” The ancient Israelis liked to party, were attracted to more compassionate Gods and were attracted to other forms of progressive thought. This threatened the power base of the patriarchs. It also threatened their wealth base because they need everyone working, not partying, not enjoying life. The regular villagers were expected to work themselves to death for their earth masters.

Associated with “morality” was the age-old, male problem of keeping their women chaste for property value reasons and for easy identification of paternity of children. Thus, patriarchal morality has always upheld patriarchal religion and government.

Morality belongs in a person's private life, but the patriarchs have always insisted that a person's morality is not private because it somehow mystically “affects” the whole community. This is part of the process and propaganda of control. Males in power always insist on controlling every sphere of people's lives, including morality. Minute control of people's lives is a trait of psychopathy.

To keep people in negative, impoverished lives that ultimately enrich and benefit the ruling and upper classes, people must be kept in negative, impoverished thinking. Therefore, morality in society must be kept narrow, negative and impoverished. This is the “background” of america's morality codes for it's general citizens (wealth and power have their own “morals”) and it's all rooted in america's Christian beginnings.

### **The Dichotomy of Angels and Free Will**

In the “good ole days” of early Christianity, believers were so obedient to a dogma that they were willing to die for it. They taunted Roman authorities into crucifying them or feed them to the lions in the arena.

Since those times, Christian “fathers” have been busy trying to explain away why God gives us free will on one hand and demands it back on the other hand. In parallel, but related thinking and reality, this phenomenon exists today: rulers/authority figures tell people that they’re “free” but demand that freedom back in concessions, whether they are big or small until there is no freedom left.

Church leaders try to explain the surrender of free will by using the example of angels and free will. According to the mythology, when God creates an angel, the angel freely gives his free will to God to become God’s servant. If he refuses to give God his free will, the angel is automatically sent to hell.

This same kind of thinking is applied to citizens and their obedience to the patriarchal state. The villagers are expected to give up their rights and freedoms to the Gods of the village. If they refuse to do this-refuse to blindly obey male authority-they too, will also be sent to hell. Only it will be hell on earth. The same social forces that my patriarchal society sent after me and almost killed me will rip people apart until they die.

The dichotomy of angels and free will mythology tells people that if angels are willing to be servants to God, then people should be that willing to be servants to God of this world as well. Of course, when people are servants to God, they subsequently become servants to the ruling males of the village.

### **Favorite Sons of God/Evangelicals**

Evangelicals and conservative Christian and Catholic groups have extremist views about religion, government and women. They are fanatical in their belief that they are right, that they are guided by the divine and those who disagree with them are the enemy. They are also fanatical about evangelizing their religion and views to the entire world until the whole world becomes a theocracy.

The right-wing fundamentalists in America have worked for decades to bring their followers into power. In small groups all over the country, they preached their religious and political views--which are technically the same. They believe in reestablishing a way of life that no longer exists, where their extremist views about religion, government and woman are the law of the land.

They believe they will be rewarded in the afterlife for their devotion and many of them are waiting to be taken way during the Rapture. They believe they have found the right way, the holy way, and this justifies any of their actions. Their agenda is to force their Bibliocentric worldviews on the entire country and the world.

### **Bigotism With Propensity for Violence**

The Christian/Catholic right is bigoted. They believe that their way is the only way and all other religions and views are “satanic.” Religious bigotry is defined and measured by the standard of religious equality and mutual respect of other religions and other people.

They are intolerant to anyone who doesn't believe in their views or if they have different theological views that are considered as heretical, "anti-Christian," pagan or "secular humanistic." People who don't believe as the right does are often castigated as "anti-Christian" or agents of Satan.

Part of the American Christian bigotry is the big belief of Christian leaders, including Pat Robertson, that America was founded as a Christian Nation and it is the job of "Christians" to restore that "heritage." Conservative Christian doctrines assert that God punishes nations that do not adhere to their notion and interpretation of Biblical law.

The profound religious bigotry that animates this political hyperbole (a figure of speech in which exaggeration is used for emphasis or effect) is one of the major themes of the contemporary Christian right.

Whipping up fear and hatred of people because of their religious, sexual or political orientation remains the behavior of these Christians. The powerful mix of belief in the supernatural evil and connecting that evil in the form of demons to those whom they disagree, almost inevitably leads to persecution, including violence. This is why some extreme Christian activists bomb abortion clinics and shoot abortion doctors. This is why these same people call for severe prosecution/punishment of homosexuals, feminists, secular humanists and other dissenters.

### **Satan, the Invisible Fall Guy for Christians**

The worldview of many Christian Right leaders and their followers is infused with demons and satanic agents. They believe that all evil in this world comes directly or indirectly from Satan. They also believe that anyone who doesn't toe the line of the Christian/Catholic right is an "agent of Satan." It's interesting to note that an adversary to God (Satan) didn't appear in Jewish teachings until about 200 years before the birth of Christ.

In the Book of Job, Satan has full access to Heaven and is the one who challenges God about suffering. He is never mentioned as the epitome of evil.

According to the teachings of the evangelicals and company, Satan was once God's most favorite angel but became jealous of man, so he started a war in Heaven with a third of the angels. Another third fought for God and no one knows for sure what happened to the other third. Finally, the Archangel Michael threw Satan and his supporters out of Heaven and into Hell, a miserable place of eternal fire and suffering.

Because Satan hates God, he hates people, and thus he and his "fallen" angels have a vendetta against people. Thus, Satan is out to destroy mankind. He and his angel supporters are constantly waging a war against people. This is the "official" reason of most patriarchal Christian sects for wars, poverty, suffering, and disease, so forth. Of course, greedy elite males and their corrupt economic systems cause wars, poverty, suffering and many diseases. The remaining diseases do have other causes (besides Satan causing them) and many diseases can be treated.

Satan and his demons are invisible, thus impossible to see or find except that they are "everywhere." To be "safe" from Satan or the threat of hell, people have to give their confession of Jesus Christ as savior, plus give their power to leaders of both the church and the

state. Of course, this is terrorism of the masses for control of them, but it works. It works too well.

Satan or “demons” are blamed for every kind of human evil and every time human evil is discovered. When religious leaders are found guilty of some kind of corruption, Satan, not the person, is blamed.

It’s ironic and tragic that these conservative Christians who blame Satan for all the world’s evil (and their own when caught sinning) are really worshipping Satan. Satan and Molech work the same way, thus they are the same no matter what name or designation (super angel or a god).

### **A Convenient Control Mechanism of Terror**

There are only four mentions of satan in the whole of the Old Testament and in no instance is Satan evil. In the Old Testament, Satan is seen as a member of God’s heavenly court. He is an angel that carries out God’s more aggressive commands. In the Book of Job (Job 1:6, 2:1-7), Satan is sent by God twice to frustrate and make Job suffer, under direct orders not to seriously harm Job.

In 1 Chronicles 21:1, Satan suggests that King David should count the number of the Children of Israel. Satan receives a slight mention in Psalm 109:9 and appears in Zechariah 3:1-2 where he sides with the Israelites who have returned from Babylonian exile. These Israelites endeavor to re-establish their traditional family stations in Jerusalem.

The Christian perception of Satan is that of an evil imperialist whose “fallen angels” wage war on God and people. An invention of the post-Jesus era, Satan is a clever way to terrifying people to obey the god of the bible and the church.

The vehement, menacing horned devil emerged mainly through the mindset of dualism-the concept of two opposing and equally powerful gods.

Roman Church domination was established on the back of a statement made by Saint Paul in the New Testament (Romans 13:1-2), “Let every soul be subject unto the higher powers. For there is no power but of God; the powers that be are ordained of God. Whosoever therefore resisteth the power, resisteth the ordinance of God; and they that resist shall receive to themselves damnation.”

The Roman Catholic faith was based on completely subjugating people at large to the dominion of the bishops (the god of the bible’s agents). The Church proclaimed that Satan would claim the souls of any who did not offer absolute obedience to God and to the Church. The Church became its own self-nominated bridge between God and people. This occurred by granting the vicarious office to the Pope (father).

Pope Gregory I (590-604) announced Satan’s “characteristics”. This established the base satanic personality, which has been perpetuated from that time. Gregory said that Satan had horns and hooves (he probably got that from the pan myth), plus the power to control the weather. Afterwards, horned animals (goats and stags in particular) were connected to the connotation of satanic evil.

As time wore on, the pictorial imagery became more exaggerated with the addition of a tail,

bat's wings, giant penis and a variety of body characteristics based upon the satyrs of Greek mythology.

### **Blame Satan/The “Invisible Enemy”**

Christians blame Satan for every character flaw of people to every evil in the world, all of which are manmade. Satan is blamed for the following:

- Death
- For all suffering
- For all the corruption in the world
- For the mistakes of leaders, even/especially Christian leaders' mistakes
- For anything that goes wrong
- For gays and lesbians
- For feminism and feministic thought
- For socialistic thinking
- For individualistic thinking

All of this takes the blame off the psychopathic leaders who actually cause human suffering and despair.

### **Son of Satan: The Anti-Christ**

“But through the years of myth-making and fear-sowing, Christianity Metamorphosed antichrists into a single antichrist, an apocalyptic villain and Christian boogeyman used to scare people as much as Santa Clause is used to regulate children's behavior.”

Marilyn Manson, *The Long Road Out of Hell*, pg. 213

According to most Christians, not only do people constantly have to be constantly afraid of invisible “enemies” (Satan and his demons), but also there is another super demon waiting in the wings. He is the anti-Christ and he is the mortal son of Satan just like Jesus was the mortal son of God. This goes back to dualistic thinking of ancient patriarchal thinking mentioned earlier in this chapter.

The anti-Christ is mentioned in the Book of Revelation, the last chapter of the New Testament. Most theological scholars really don't understand this chapter, and fundamentalist and other Christians believe it exactly as it is written. My opinion is that it is a poem and it needs to be interpreted as one. Real mysticism lies in poetry, as poetry is the language of the heaven.

Irenaeus states that the Book of Revelation was written during the reign of the Roman emperor Domitian, probably about AD 95. Tradition asserts that the apostle Saint John wrote it during his exile on Patmos. Many scholars do not accept this attribution because of the stylistic differences between Revelation and the other works attributed to John (the Gospel and Epistles).

After a prologue, the Book of Revelation comprises two main parts. The first (chapters 2-3) contains letters to the seven churches of Asia, warning them against false teachers and offering encouragement. The rest of the book consists of a series of visions, replete with allegories,

numbers and other symbols, and a strong eschatological message. These features are characteristic of the apocalyptic writing then in vogue.

Interpretation of the Book of Revelation has been a source of much controversy. Some have held that it had a message only for the 1st Century world. Others maintain that the book is a prophecy to be fulfilled totally in the future.

John spoke to the situation of his day. The letters to the seven churches indicate a situation of crisis, probably brought on by Roman persecutions of the Christians. From his understanding of the revelation of God for his day, he painted a vision of God's final triumph over evil that has sustained many Christians in to this day.

Besides being bombarded with fear of Satan and demons, people are also bombarded about a demon-Hitler that is going to take over the world and make it hell on earth. After six and a half years of the Beast's reign, Jesus is going to come to earth with his army of angels and over-throw the anti-Christ. Afterwards, there will be a Christian utopia for a thousand years until Satan makes one last attempt to rule the world and have one more civil war with God.

During the anti-Christ's rule, people will have to wear a number (666) or they will not be able to buy or sell. There will be a one world-government with a one-world economic system.

Two dorps (villages) down from where I live, lives a woman who is constantly afraid of Satan. This fear rules and ruins her entire life. If this woman even sees something as benign as a kitchen witch, she begins to think and worry about Satan. Right away, she starts looking through the air for him or his buddies to attack her and prays for God to save her. She's always afraid because she has been programmed by religion to fear evil instead of not fear it.

I saw this same kind of fear of Satan, demons and the antichrist in America everywhere. Everyone was so afraid of the "invisible war" against them and mankind that they were left too lethargic and out of time to fight evil. it.

Marilyn Manson sums it up best in his book *The Long Road Out of Hell*, "The beast they lived in fear of was really themselves. It was man, not some mythological demon that was going to destroy man in the end. And this beast had been created out of their fear." (Pg.22)

### **Arrogance**

According to Christian and Catholic teaching, Satan "fell" from Heaven because of arrogance. Ironically, the conservative Christians are an arrogant group. Jesus taught humility, but conservative Christians are not humble, especially when they believe that they alone will inherit the Kingdom of Heaven and that they have "interpreted" God's directive and wish to reconstruct the national and international society for a "Kingdom of God" on earth.

Conservative Christians justify their political, legislative and regulatory agendas as "fulfilling" "God's Plan." They distort the Bible and American history to suit their religious and political objectives/agenda of a theocracy. Because they believe that they are somehow more special, more saved, more blessed by God than the rest of the world, it is only natural that they are arrogant. Arrogance damned Satan but somehow arrogance is justifiable if you're a "better" person.

## **Bible World: The Ideal Evangelical or Conservative Christian World**

In an “ideal” evangelical or conservative Christian world, men would completely rule. Theocracy exists when religious leaders interpret biblical laws related to general human conduct and town officials enforce the interpreted biblical law through police power.

Many evangelicals wish for the "good ole days" when things were "simpler" and morals were "based on the bible." Before we go any further, Biblical law is not simple. Not only that, it is exceedingly violent and racist. It is racist against the obvious group, women, but it is also racist against any group of people that do not agree with "god's chosen people." Anytime you have one group that is "selected by god" over the others, you have problems of arrogance, lust of power, abuse of power, selfishness, apathy and the most greatest crime of all: arrogance.

Society would feature a minimal national government whose main function would be defense by the armed forces. Those “qualified” to vote or hold office would be limited to “righteous” males from biblically correct churches.

No social services would be provided outside the church, which would be responsible for health, education and welfare.

As long as it didn't interfere with Biblical law, a radically unfettered capitalism would prevail.

Women would be subordinated to all males and male structures (Eph 5:22-24). Women would basically live their lives within the home while males ruled all the institutions including schools, government, and churches, so forth.

Ideally, women would live with their fathers until they were married. Then they would live with their husbands. This means that they would be under complete patriarchal control their whole lives.

Ten percent of the male population and one percent of the female population is gay. In an ideal Christian world, belief in Jesus will somehow “cure” them and make them heterosexual. It never worked before so how is it going to work now? Sure, in the past centuries, homosexual people were forced to marry into hetero unions, but they caused a lot of suffering and injury into the lives forced to live a sham. A hetero married to a homo and vice-versa is hell for the occupants locked in a suffocating house of unrealized lives and love.

Self-actualization would be non-existent except for the “righteous” and powerful males of the church village. The tenet of Christianity is self-sacrifice, obedience and sanctioned lives/thoughts/behaviors/faith from the ruling males. Instead, people would be told that they would gain self-actualization by fanatical service to the church-state. When that didn't happen, the individuals would be blamed for not having enough faith, not trying hard enough, having evil in their hearts, so forth.

The villagers would be expected to worship in churches on Sunday and other days during the week. People who didn't show up for church would be investigated. They would have to prove that they had the flu or couldn't make it for a good reason.

Dancing, music and literature would be tightly controlled. Anything viewed as “sensuous” is considered a threat because the “fathers” of the early church (that influenced its generic

thinking) viewed sexuality and anything close to it, including sensuality, as a sin or something that led to sin.

Ironically, it is sensuality that invigorates the spirit and sets it free. In an ideal Christian world, the spirit must be bound and imprisoned like the body. The only joy it may know is the legal and sanctioned joy the paternal rulers of the church-state allow it.

Music would be tightly controlled as to keep dancers from moving their bodies too much, thereby causing sexuality and sensuality, both forbidden.

The lyrics to music would have to follow prescribed patriarchal directive. All would have to be paeans, hymnals, praise, patriotic and other songs that support the Christian-state. Any lyrics that were considered “secular humanist” (feminist, pagan, anti-patriarchal, so forth) would be forbidden and the writers would probably be whisked off to an ideal Christian prison where they would be forced to listen to Christian propaganda and to learn the Christian interpretations of the Bible until they were “cured.”

Literature and poetry would be controlled the same way as lyrics. Female writers would disappear unless they wrote about the home, wifehood and motherhood.

There would be big public book burnings. Any book that did not support or agree with church-state philosophy and theology would be burned. Living authors of banned books would be made to repent of their literature and/or be arrested, house-arrested, tortured until they repented and/or executed.

Remember, the Christian ideal is one where the patriarchal leaders “think” for the people (including the interpretation of scripture) and where the people obey the “leaders.” Independent, left, egalitarian, radical or other thought is forbidden in a theocracy, especially in a Christian one.

Entertainment would be vanilla at best. Like now, movies would usually be centered on a male protagonist. There would be many movies made about Bible stories. There would also be movies about animals.

Cowboy movies would be real popular. Of course, these movies would be sanitized to hide “sin.” For example, the bar prostitute would become a “bar girl.” There would be no sex or hinted sexuality. The “bad guy” or protagonist would always “deserve” his death by bullets or Indian arrows.

There would be many documentaries made about the fathers (leaders) of the church-state. This would be done to fill Bible World with propaganda of how great the fathers were or are.

As with television, newspapers, magazines, so forth, anything critical of the status quo or Christianity would be forbidden. Evangelicals are famous for saying, “You don’t question Jesus.”

Because male authority is considered “supreme,” no one would be able to question it or his or her world. Because religious male authority is somehow “mystique” and sanctioned by God, anyone who questioned the theocracy would be dealt with severely. In the minds of Christians, you don’t question God’s authority; thereby you don’t question the authority of

God's "regents."

Basic "public" education would be flavored to enhance support for the police church-state. Much of it would be theology (as interpreted by the church fathers who are running everything). The rest of it would be homogenized, sanitized and sterile. If a student wanted to debate a topic or questioned authority of the "official" view of a topic, they would be seriously reprimanded and punished.

University educations would only be allowed for the "better" classes of women. Of course, this university education would have to be approved of by her father or other male sponsor. These women will probably be single (married women are expected to stay home) and their education would be allowed to "feminine" majors like home economics.

Women would have no control over their fertility. Many would be forced into pregnancies they could not cope with and many women would die of childbirth.

As always, the Christian world would be unable to solve the problems of widows and the problems of widowhood poverty. Since women are under the control of males all their lives, what male would control/support them if their husbands died and their fathers didn't want or couldn't support them?

The Christian world would also be unable to solve the problems of poor women in general. Despite the romantic rhetoric that a woman should stay home and take care of her family, its a fact that poor women have always worked and usually for very little wages.

The economics of Christianity is capitalism. This means that there will always be poor men. Either they will be too poor to have a wife or if they take a wife, that wife is also going to have to work outside the home.

Because many poor men would be too poor to support a wife and possibly children, there would be a glut of poor women that could not be placed in marriage, especially black and minority women. Anxious to place these women in marriages so they are not a burden to society and a paradox of the Christian ideal of marriage, the church-state fathers would flood the landscape with the same kind of messages they did in Bible times and extol the "values" of good women who happen to not be sexually desirable and/or physically attractive.

Like the times of the Biblical Desert Patriarchs, the ruling males will still prefer the pretty females over the unattractive ones as wives (unless they marry for unholy alliances of wealth and power). The sons of the Desert Thunder God mimic the tastes of kings and will want a beautiful wife servant than a homely one.

Unable to place ugly, fat, deformed, handicapped, plain, minority and widowed women, church "fathers" would try other means to keep the Christian ideal of marriage.

Undesirable women might be auctioned off like maybe in a lottery. Some "winners" will win a wife while other "winners" get a fabulous sum of money. The other "players" who bought tickets would win neither.

Christian males have always justified their actions because it was "allowed" somewhere in the Bible or in Bible times. Polyandry would come back and it would come back in the favor of

males--males would be allowed to take more than one wife but women would not be allowed to take more than one husband.

Rich women would not be allowed more than one husband because in a conservative or evangelical world, men have all the real rights plus women are expected to be subservient. This hands all their power and rights over to men. Having two husbands is not mentioned in the Bible, plus it would be viewed as a woman not being subservient. It would also look like the woman was getting sexual variety. Property is not allowed that kind of pleasure and under all circumstances, women are not allowed sexual variety.

Because finance is seen as a "man's field," plus independent women (including widows) are seen as threats to male authority and rule, widows left wealth would be unable to control their inheritance. A male relative would have to be in charge of the woman's money. If a male relative weren't available, then a male or male finance committee would invest the money and approve of the woman's expenses.

With the pressure for women to be married, anorexia and bulimia would become giant problems, as women would try to fix up their bodies to meet or exceed the beauty standards of males.

To protect the "value" of women from intercourse and rape, women would have to be "escorted" by protecting males everywhere they went. They would be forbidden to go to social functions where there are males. In the few social functions where mixing of males and females is allowed, it would be heavily patrolled and chaperoned by vigilant males forcing patriarchal, Christian rules of "allowable" social conduct.

Like Victorian and all the other times of the reign of man, women would be responsible for their own chastity. Like in the bible, they would be blamed for rape, no matter what the circumstance. If they had sex because they wanted it, they would be blamed for their "lust."

On the other hand, if men rape or have sex before or outside of marriage, their behavior would be blamed on "Satan." There's a predominant, patriarchal belief/saying in America that says that men's sex drives are so great that it is sometimes impossible to control them. An "overabundance" of testosterone or something else always justifies the brute behavior of men. I wish I had a dollar for every time I heard that in America. I would be rich!

Everyone would be forced to dress conservatively, even at the beach. Like at the turn of the twentieth century, women's bathing suits would cover most of their bodies while men would wear long swim trunks. Of course, men would probably be able to go bare-chested.

A feminist and individualist, I constantly struggle with my own personal ideal of clothing that is not so sexual. First of all, overt sexual clothing upholds the patriarchal beauty standards of big busts and "virgin" flat bellies. Second of all, a highly sexual universe brings about a lot of sexually charged people. In oppressive societies and cultures, this means a lot of sexually repressed people. It also results in higher incidences of rape and incest.

Dissenters, individualists, feminists, people who refused to deny their homosexuality, revolutionaries (or "terrorists"), believers of other religions, blatant paradoxes of the Christian "utopia," or anyone who did not fit in and/or who caused problems for the Christian state would be executed. The executions would soon turn into mass executions and all done in the

names of God and Jesus.

There would be death penalties for contemporary crimes like murder, kidnapping and rape (if Satan or the victim couldn't be successfully blamed). Death would also be meted out for apostasy (abandonment of faith), heresy, blasphemy, witchcraft including all practitioners of magic, astrology, adultery, sodomy, incest, striking a parent, incorrigible juvenile delinquency, and in the case of women, having sexual intercourse before marriage.

The Bible teaches executions, so Bible-centric Christians believe that it is justifiable. The Christian "Utopian" world would have to execute the people who didn't toe the patriarchal Christian line. There would not be enough prisons to imprison the unwanted and/or resistant enemies of the church-state. Not only that, it would be expensive and with Christians and corporations controlling the world, neither group would want to fund this expense.

There are no frontiers to banish unwanted villagers to and if there was, frontiers can become wellsprings of independent and radical thought: both problems to a theocracy when every aspect of life--personal and professional--must be controlled for the purposes of "pleasing God," keeping society "pure/good" and keeping everyone religious and obedient to male authority.

If there were a frontier or island to banish people who did not or refused to live in Christian Land, many people would want to live there to escape oppression. When a large population wants to live somewhere else to escape theocracy, it is a threat to the power and status of the structure. It proves the general unhappiness of being under the yoke of the male authoritative and totalitarian leadership.

The church "fathers" would never allow banishment for those reasons if banishment were availability.

No other religions or philosophy would be tolerated. Christian history runs red with the blood of people that were killed only because they were "pagans" or refused to be converted to Christianity.

"Sin patrols" would cover the entire society. Their ranks would consist of religious males roving every corner of the world to enforce "biblical" standards.

Vices would go underground and the "pleasures" of prostitution, drugs, addictions, gambling, so forth would become expensive and dangerous to get. People caught providing "services" and "vices" would probably be executed. People caught trying to obtain services and vice would probably be publicly ridiculed and flogged unless they were male, rich and powerful.

Because evangelicals and conservative Christians want to twist back the dial 3,000 years, "biblical law" (as interpreted by the leaders) would be the prevailing law. As mentioned already, public executions would come back.

Prohibition proved that the government could not eliminate alcohol and its consumption, alcohol would probably be allowed but not encouraged.

The Judahites Dreamt of A "Perfect" Bible World, Too

One of the reasons why the Judahites wrote the bible was to relay their dream of how they thought the world should be. Ironically, the Bible World the conservative Christians dream of mirrors the “utopian” Bible World the Judahites envisioned. Only modern technology separates these dreams.

### **The Bible Way**

This psychopathic biblical model of male dominance and violent control upholds all the power structures of America. The morality of patriarchy mirrors the morality of money: all systems and institutions are tilted in the favor of elite males. Patriarchs are "rewarded" with power, authority and privilege that the rest of the village is not allowed.

The Bible gives explicit instructions for the control of people. It teaches: use patriarchal violence including murder and torture; rape your enemies' women; have incest with your daughters; crush your enemies; and, do whatever you want to do as long as you justify it in the name of god and crush spirits in the process. A person with a crushed spirit is more easier to control.

Most Christian sects have purposely lost the compassion of Christ in their delivery of teachings because they are more concerned with converting you to their religion, thus controlling you. Compassionate teachings are not good control tools.

Where is compassion in the Christian world? The scraps they throw us from their table?

### **How All This Relates to the American Savage Society**

Authoritarian, totalitarian, “conservative” Christian beliefs, founded mostly in Puritanical/Calvinist thought, tell the villagers the same, timeworn propaganda. It is: Give God/Jesus your power. Since we're His (self-proclaimed) Regents, we have the higher authority. We have special knowledge and special powers of good to protect you from Satan and his minions of demons, as long as you give us your power (plus money). Obey God by obeying us and obey our interpretations of biblical law. Be “happy” servants on earth. Suffer now so you won't have to suffer in hell--you'll get your “reward” in heaven. Save yourselves from an eternity in Hell by obeying us.

All of this negative, impoverished, Puritanical thinking is directly linked to the enigmas of the Savage Society plus it fuels, justifies and sustains the System, a system we currently live in of unbridled capitalism, pirate privateering, perpetual war-time economy under the military industrial complex, a global extension of serfdom, a seamless extension of the age of colonialism, fascism and *human sacrifice*.

### **Human Sacrifice Since the 1<sup>st</sup> Century AD**

Human sacrifice, literal human sacrifice, is still with us. In fact, it has never left the human stage, including the human stages of “civilized” countries. It has either gone underground, named and/or presented as something else including punishment and martyrdom, or human sacrifice is seen in clear sight and is so common that no one notices it.

Moloch, the god of the bible, demands blood sacrifice to satisfy his vampiric appetite. Human sacrifice did not disappear from the world after Christianity emerged. It excellerated. More

people have died for the god of the bible and it's three Abrahamic religions than from anything else in the history of the world, including epidemics.

Children are still sacrificed, only in an abstract way. Most are killed by the legion of the ills of poverty or preventable diseases. America is the "richest" nation in the history of the world and yet one in four children go to bed hungry every night. Hunger weakens the immune system and thus indirectly kills many children.

Females are still sacrificed. Over 100,000,000 of them are missing from the population right now because of infanticide of girls and deliberate abortion of female fetuses. Many untold millions more are disappeared from the earth because their husbands killed them.

The greatest human sacrifice comes from war. War doesn't usually happen without church approval (or silence which is another form of approval). For the last five thousand or so years, many of the most violent wars fought on this planet have originated or been approved of by the leadership of those who serve the god of the bible and/or Christ.

Could slaughtering innocent people by poverty or war or some other death mechanism be secret human sacrifice rituals by the elite to appease Moloch, the god of the bible and to get his blessings? The elite are rewarded or "blessed" materialistically and in longevity from maintaining A Moloch World.